PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF ALGERIA
Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research
University of Ain Temouchent - Belhadj Bouchaib

Faculty of Letters, Languages and Social Sciences
Department of Letters and English Language

The Falsification of Identity and Truth in William Gibson's *Neuromancer*

A Dissertation Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for a Master's Degree in Literature and Civilisation

Submitted by:

Ms. Meriem Selssabil BELROMARI

Supervised by:

Ms. Fatima YAHIA

Board of Examiners:

President:	Mrs. Zahéra HASSAIENE	MAA	University of Ain Temouchent
Supervisor:	Ms. Fatima YAHIA	MAA	University of Ain Temouchent
Examiner:	Mrs. Rayhane HOUARI	MAA	University of Ain Temouchent

Academic Year: 2024/2025

Declaration

I hereby declare that the dissertation entitled “The Falsification of Identity and Truth inWilliam Gibson’s *Neuromancer*” is my original work and that it has not been used as the basis for any degree, associateship, fellowship, or other qualification.

The materiel borrowed from similar titles or other sources and incorporated in the dissertation has been duly acknowledged.

This dissertation has undergone language correction using the AI-powered tools Grammarly and Scholar AI Chat to address grammatical, spelling, and stylistic errors. It is acknowledged that the use of such tools may introduce standardised patterns typical of AI-generated content. Consequently, a certain percentage of content may reflect AI-generated language structures. Yet, the intellectual content and the analysis remain entirely the work of the authors.

Dedications

To the memory of my father, whose journey ended in stillness far too soon, yet whose quiet strength and steady presence have never left my side. This work, and the person I have become, is a testament to the life he lived.

To my family, whose love is the steady hearth that warms my heart, and to my friends, whose companionship has left an imprint of shared laughter and wisdom that will last far beyond the classroom

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the people who have been instrumental in the completion of this humble project. Their guidance, support, and patience were invaluable.

I extend my deepest thanks to my supervisor, Ms. Fatima YAHIA, for her steadfast guidance, profound insights, and remarkable patience. Her steadfast push for excellence and her consistent support were the pillars upon which this work was built. Without whom, this attempt would not have been possible.

A special thank you to the distinguished members of the jury, Mrs. Zahéra HASSAIENE and Mrs. Rayhane HOUARI. Your meticulous review, valuable corrections, and considered feedback have not only elevated the quality of this work but have also provided a final, crucial layer of academic validation.

I am also profoundly grateful to the entire teaching staff of the English Language Department. Your dedication to academic discipline and your enriching lectures have consistently helped us to upgrade our thinking and intellectual curiosity, leaving a lasting imprint on my academic journey.

Abstract

This interdisciplinary qualitative approach explores how the consequences of massive technological advancements lead to a state of falsification of truth and identity in William Gibson's *Neuromancer* (1984*)*. Placing the novel as a mirror of contemporary society, it induces a shift to digital culture due to corporatisation. Employing the postmodern criticism, cybernetic and critical social theories, and discourse analysis. The analysis reveals how the corporatised consumption of technology and scientific manipulation falsify the individual's identity and truth. The study explains that the novel's key lens corrodes the natural humanistic mechanism, reducing characters to obedient subjects by inhabiting fragmented and fictionalised selves, revealing the vulnerability of individual identity in a digital, totalitarian world, serving as a tool for corporate and institutional control and reality manipulation, constructing a mediated sense of autonomy that obscures the erosion of individuality. In addition to the intertextual reading of George Orwell's *1984,* which reveals shared, timeless warnings against specific mechanisms of digital oppression across literary criticism. Ultimately, this approach argues that *Neuromance*r serves as a cautionary tale about the consequences of a high-technological society that blurs the lines between the real and the virtual.

Keywords: Corporate control; Falsification of Truth and Identity; *Neuromancer*; Postmodernism; Technology; William Gibson.

ملخص

يستكشف هذا النهج النوعي متعدد التخصصات كيف تؤدي عواقب التقدم التكنولوجي الهائل إلى حالة من تزوير الحقيقة والهوية في رواية ويليام جيبسون "نيورومانسر" (1984). وباعتبار الرواية مرآة للمجتمع المعاصر، فإنها تحث على التحول إلى الثقافة الرقمية بسبب تحول المجتمع إلى مجتمع الشركات. يستخدم النهج النقد ما بعد الحداثي، والنظريات الاجتماعية السيبرانية والنقدية، وتحليل الخطاب. يكشف التحليل كيف أن الاستهلاك المؤسسي للتكنولوجيا والتلاعب العلمي يزيفان هوية الفرد وحقيقته. تشرح الدراسة أن المنظور الرئيسي للرواية يفسد الآلية الإنسانية الطبيعية، ويحول الشخصيات إلى رعايا مطيعين من خلال إقناعهم بذوات مجزأة وخيالية، ويكشف عن ضعف الهوية الفردية في عالم رقمي شمولي، ويخدم كأداة للسيطرة المؤسسية والتلاعب بالواقع، ويبني إحساسًا بالاستقلالية يغطي على تآكل الفردية. بالإضافة إلى القراءة النصية لرواية جورج أورويل 1984، التي تكشف عن تحذيرات مشتركة وخالدة ضد آليات محددة للقمع الرقمي عبر النقد الأدبي. في النهاية، يجادل هذا النهج بأن رواية نيورومانسر هي قصة تحذيرية عن عواقب مجتمع عالي التقنية يطمس الحدود بين الواقع والافتراضي.

الكلمات المفتاحية: الرقابة المؤسسية؛ تزوير الحقيقة والهوية ؛ نيورومانسر ؛ ما بعد الحداثة؛ التكنولوجيا؛ ويليام جيبسون.

Résumé

Cette approche qualitative interdisciplinaire explore comment les conséquences des progrès technologiques massifs conduisent à une falsification de la vérité et de l'identité dans *Neuromancer* (1984) de William Gibson. Considérant le roman comme un miroir de la société contemporaine, elle induit un passage à la culture numérique dû à la corporatisation. Elle utilise la critique postmoderne, les théories cybernétiques et sociales critiques, ainsi que l'analyse du discours. L'analyse révèle comment la consommation corporatisée de la technologie et la manipulation scientifique falsifient l'identité et la vérité de l'individu. L'étude explique que le prisme central du roman corrompt le mécanisme humaniste naturel, réduisant les personnages à des sujets obéissants en leur attribuant des identités fragmentées et fictives, révélant la vulnérabilité de l'identité individuelle dans un monde numérique totalitaire, servant d'outil de contrôle corporatif et institutionnel et de manipulation de la réalité, construisant un sentiment d'autonomie médiatisé qui masque l'érosion de l'individualité. En plus de la lecture intertextuelle de 1984 de George Orwell, qui révèle des avertissements communs et intemporels contre des mécanismes spécifiques d'oppression numérique dans la critique littéraire. En fin de compte, cette approche soutient que *Neuromancer* sert d'avertissement sur les conséquences d'une société hautement technologique qui brouille les frontières entre le réel et le virtuel.

Mots-clés: Contrôle des entreprises; Falsification de la vérité et de l'identité; *Neuromancer*; Postmodernisme; Technologie; William Gibson.

Table of Contents

General Introduction

In today's digitally saturated world, the lines between reality and the virtual are increasingly blurred. A phenomenon that has profoundly impacted our sense of self, truth, and humanity, from the dawn of the scientific improvements to the contemporary era, technology integrates its seeds and evolves into human life; however, inscrutably, it becomes an enemy to humanity, enslaving individuals and shifting perspectives and ideologies by shaping a new way of living. Moreover, meeting the needs of humankind, creating a artificial satisfaction and happiness, which lead the falsify the reality and identity of individuals, The grim reality within this framework is that we become the victims of what we are constructing, this condition forms a current reflection of the postmodern an contemporary realities, making it in William Gibson's *Neuromancer* for understanding our current techno-social framework.

William Gibson's depiction of the character of Case as an old controller and a victim, contrast and blurs the lines between his sense of humanity and its commodification to a machine, his use of Orwellian themes and adoption of literary narratives indicate literature as a echo of silent voices served as solid resistance admist the consequences of technological imprisonment and fabricated humanity, this study reconstruct the idea within the tecno-social context, representing, human beings become the very product of the scientific experiments, human body becoming a product that can be sold and bought in Gibson's narrative the human body and mind is not something personal, it is a subject.

Since its publication in 1984, *Neuromancer* has attracted profound academic attention. Throughout its complexity, it is often analysed through the lenses of hyperreality, technology, and cybernetic culture. Indeed, Gibson opens a debatable door to contemporary issues, where the ultimate objective truth is not clear. The novel presents a society where a humanistic existence evokes a sense of unease and discomfort, forever locked to the pleasure and happiness of technology at the cost of our humanity and consciousness.

Our investigation begins by tracing the historical evolution of science and technology, situating postmodernism as a great moment of cultural change. We explore how technologies like the internet and Artificial Intelligence (AI) have become deeply integrated into human life, fundamentally altering our perceptions and social structures. This discussion explores the postmodern interpretation of cyberspace culture, viewing AI not only as a tool but also as a weapon that corrupts intellectual societies, capable of manipulating perception and constructing realities. A key focus is on posthumanism, where technology modifies the human body, leading to a new form of hybridity and exploring the potential for the robotisation of human existence.

While much scholarship has analysed *Neuromancer*'s technological and aesthetic aspects, there is a need for a more comprehensive analysis of how the novel specifically dissects the postmodern condition's impact on the falsification of truth and the fragmentation of identity. Therefore, we aim to answer three core research questions:

1) How does *Neuromancer* portray the falsification of truth and the fragmentation of identity in a technologically advanced, postmodern world?

2) In what ways does Gibson's novel serve as a critique of corporate hegemony and the loss of individual agency?

3) How does cyberspace in *Neuromancer* reveal the hidden façade of the falsification of truth and identity?

By examining the core of his ideas, Gibson envisions an imaginary dystopian reality within a cyberpunk style to convey his vision of structured reality and reality manipulated. Although these ideas may seem far from logic, they reveal a questionable fact: technology is no longer a tool for an easy and comfortable life; instead, it has become the enemy of humanity and individuality. A fact that leads us to expose it on valid academic platforms, we propose the following hypotheses in response to the research questions outlined above:

1. It is hypothesised that the novel exemplifies Gibson as a picture of a future society that is controlled and humanity that is used for scientific improvement.

2. It is theorised that the world's state, fragmented easily, civilised life with technology and science gives its people addiction, leading to a destructive consumption that destroys the nature of humanity and kills the conscience of actions.

1. In *Neuromancer*, cyberspace is likely to represent a corporate institution of control that leads to the commodification of the human body and the illusion of freedom.

The intention behind this research comes from a wish to understand how besides all the scientific improvements and the high civilised world, individuals consciously or unconsciously still fall for the imprisonment of technology that leads to reshape their reality and humanity, As a technology consumer and curious social chaos observer, this idea of how the reality changes subsequently throught this advvancemnet process of technology and how it getting integrated in human life and steal its time, thoughts and percptions, despite all the perfctionsim that the world reach it still has a worst vision. It coincidentally corresponds to William Gibson's melancholic vision.

Furthermore, this silent strastifaction to digital world, the blinded consumption of internet drive a researcher to wonder wether the individuals are truly unware of their enslavement of technology or accept this fragmented reality and identity changeby resisting, this confusion offers a solid foundation of this academic research, this study does not only fill the missing pieces of William Gibson's writing, but also to expose a reflection of the world'state today.

To achieve the requested goals, the research employs a multidisciplinary approach, combining literary and analytical discourse with postmodern, cybernetic, and social and

cultural theories. Thus, to meet the objectives of the topic, we will be using the qualitative research tools for a concrete interpretation.

The dissertation is structured into three chapters. Chapter One focuses on the theoretical groundwork for Postmodern Technological Transformation, examining the historical and cultural context of postmodernism and the integration of technology into human life. It introduces concepts like posthumanism and AI's role in shaping reality. Chapter Two examines the Aesthetic and Didactic Dimensions of the novel's stylistic elements, including its cyberpunk mood and hyper-detailed visuals, as well as its didactic functions. This section draws parallels between *Neuromancer and* Orwell's *1984*, highlighting themes of corporate hegemony, the manipulation of truth, and the loss of agency, thereby positioning the novel as a work of resistance literature that echoes timeless warnings about control and power.

Finally, Chapter Three examines the Falsification of Truth and Identity in *Neuromancer*, delving into the novel's core themes of fragmented selves, emotional control, and the body as a symbol of scientific experimentation. This chapter also explores the commodification of the human body and the illusion of survival within cyberspace.

This research's overall methodological framework and structural conventions adhere to the seventh edition of the MLA Handbook for Writers of Research Papers.

Chapter One

Postmodern Technological Transformation

Introduction

This new wave of science fiction literature prompts us to expand our thinking into a new world of cyberpunk culture, which delves into a deeper layer of human development. This chapter explores the profound and ambiguous transformation of human society brought about by the postmodern and technological era. It argues that the historical evolution of science and technology has not merely provided new tools but has fundamentally altered our understanding of reality, knowledge, and the self.

I. 1. How the World became Ambiguous

The evolution of science and technology has not been a linear, continuous movement toward progress, but rather a series of breakthroughs that have completely changed our understanding of reality, ultimately leading to the fragmented and complex world we have today. Thomas Kuhn, in his « *The Scientific Revolutions* », described this linear progress. He stated that the scientific paradigm that began with the industrial period was characterised by a shared intellectual consensus, a "normal science" where progress was the objective accumulation of knowledge. He declared that, "Normal science, the activity in which most scientists inevitably spend almost all their time, is predicated on the assumption that the scientific community knows what the world is like" (10).

The paradigm was based on the belief in a knowable, fixed reality, a firm distinction between subject and object, and the infallible power of empirical science. The world was a collection of discrete, predictable components that could be measured, controlled, and optimised using rational inquiry and technological innovation (55). It was a world of progress, reason, and human emancipation where science provided the basis of an ordered and predictable social order. In this world, although there were problems, the assumptions underneath were more or less uncontested, providing a sense of certainty to the human project.

Nevertheless, the acceleration rate of technological change, particularly the advent of digital and information technology, presented a series of « anomalies » that the old industrial paradigm could no longer assimilate: “Anomalies... gradually undermine the existing paradigm, resulting in a crisis that necessitates a paradigm shift” (Kuhn 70). This created a Kuhnian “crisis” (52), a period of profound intellectual and social turmoil that demanded a new understanding of the world. The advent of the internet, artificial intelligence, and global networks melted the traditional boundaries of time, space, and fixed reality. It ignited a radical “paradigm shift” (77), a shift from a mass production society to an information and network society. This shift did not simply add new tools to the old system; it changed the underlying rules of the game. It gave rise to new forms of communication, new ideas of community, and a world where information could be both everywhere and contradictory, establishing the conditions for the deep-seated ambiguity that now pervades our experience.

This uncertainty is best explained by a post-structuralist framework, namely the work of Michel Foucault on discourse and power/knowledge. In this new digital reality, ‘truth’ is no longer a stable thing uncovered by objective science, but a creation of contending discourses. The internet’s information proliferation means that facts are frequently subject to interpretation, and what qualifies as knowledge is primarily determined by the power of the platform or algorithm that gives it voice.

Foucault’s power/knowledge concept is key here: the knowledge yielded by new digital technologies is not neutral. It is inextricably linked to new modes of power, where data collection and surveillance become methods of control. The scientific discourse of the digital era, speaking in terms of “optimisation,” “efficiency,” and “data-driven insights “, does more than describe the world; it actively creates it in a manner that serves those in power. This ongoing contention of truth is the very origin of our present uncertainty.

While technology holds out the promise of liberation, its darker underside, as critiqued by the Frankfurt School, reveals the scope of this ambiguity. Theorists such as Max Horkheimer and Theodor W. Adorno cautioned that the rationalisation of society, driven by technology, might usher in a new era of domination, which they said: “The Enlightenment’s instrumental reason has turned from liberation into domination... it subjects nature and humans alike to rigid control” (5).

In the age of the internet, this critique reaches its final formulation. The same technologies that permit us to connect to the globe also facilitate unprecedented degrees of surveillance, highlighting what Foucault would identify as a digital «Panopticon ». The Panopticon is a disciplinary machine for dissociating the “see/being seen” dyad: in the peripheral ring, one is totally seen without ever seeing; in the central tower, one sees everything without ever being seen (8). It is an important mechanism, as it automates and disindividualizes power. Power has its principle not so much in a person as in a particular concerted distribution of bodies, surfaces, lights, gazes; in an arrangement whose internal mechanisms produce the relation in which individuals are caught up.

Foucault (200) explains that we are not merely utilising technology; we are being formed by it. AI algorithms, social media networks, and data analytics softly guide our behaviour, mould our perceptions, and normalise our desires, transforming us into calculable consumers. The ambiguity, then, is a double-edged sword: the globe appears more open and fluid than ever before, yet beneath the surface is a ‘well-controlled world’ where our perceived freedom conceals a subtle, yet pervasive, type of technological and ideological control.

I. 1. 1. Postmodernism as a New Age of Cultural Change

The emergence of postmodernism represents a profound cultural transformation, best understood as the “cultural logic of late capitalism,” as theorised by Fredric Jameson. In this

new economic stage, capitalism has globalised and commodified all aspects of culture, moving beyond the simple production of goods to the production of images and consumer lifestyles. This shift gives rise to the defining aesthetic features of postmodernism: pastiche and a sense of depthlessness. Pastiche, the blank and a-historical imitation of past styles, reflects a world where history has been flattened into a vast archive of styles to be consumed. Depthlessness, meanwhile, signifies a cultural shift toward surface-level experiences and a decline in meaningful emotional connections to art and history. This cultural environment, driven by the logic of consumerism, provides the ideal conditions for Jean Baudrillard's ideas to manifest.

Baudrillard's core concept of simulacra explains how this cultural logic operates on the level of signs and images. For Baudrillard, we have entered a phase where images no longer represent an underlying reality but have become copies without an original. This process culminates in a state of hyperreality, where the distinction between reality and its simulation becomes entirely collapsed. The digital world is the ultimate manifestation of this.

In the digital realm, Jameson's idea is evident in the endless remixing of media, and its depthlessness is seen in the fleeting, small nature of content. More importantly, Baudrillard's hyperreality is palpable in a world of curated social media profiles, where the simulated online persona often holds more weight and influence than actual life shows. Ultimately, the cultural shift of postmodernism is not merely a stylistic evolution; it is a profound transformation. However, a fundamental reevaluation of our relationship with authenticity is driven by a consumer economy that has rendered the concept of the 'real' obsolete.

I. 1. 2. Internet and Scientific Advancement

Manuel Castells, in his *book "The Rise of the Network Society,"* explained that our society is a set of global networks organised and surrounded by communication and information. "The network society is a society whose social structure is made of networks

powered by microelectronics-based information and communication technologies" (Castells 509). He also declared that "In the network society, time and space of social practice are organised around electronically processed information flows" (Castells 494). However, Bruno Latour, in his critique of Actor-Network Theory, criticises this theory when he views technology as ruling social relationships and reassembling social life.

In this society, traditional hierarchies and geographical boundaries have been superseded by a logic of global networks, where power and influence reside in the "space of flows", the instantaneous circulation of information, capital, and data rather than the static "space of places." This shift from industrial to informational capitalism has decentralised and globalised social and economic life, creating a new sense of interconnectedness.

However, to truly grasp the dynamics of this network society, we must also consider Bruno Latour's Actor-Network Theory (ANT), which challenges the idea that technology is merely a passive instrument. Latour posits that the social world is a complex web of both human and non-human actors (or "actants") 7, all of whom have agency and play a role in shaping outcomes. In this view, the Internet is not just a platform used by people; it is a powerful non-human actor in its own right. Its algorithms, protocols, and code actively shape our social relationships, mediate our communication, and influence our behaviour. For instance, the way a social media algorithm promotes certain content over others is a form of agency that actively co-authors our social reality. Therefore, the network society is not simply a human creation; it is a complex, co-authored reality in which the internet, as a powerful non-human actor, is a core participant in the global network of flows described by Castells.

I. 1. 3. Injection of Technology in Human Life

The injection of technology into human life is not a neutral process but a primary driver of cultural change, a perspective rooted in technological determinism. This theory posits that the introduction of new technologies is the main force behind societal and cultural

shifts, fundamentally altering how we live, think, and interact. The most influential articulation of this idea comes from Marshall McLuhan, whose famous dictum, "the medium is the message," explains the mechanism by which this determination occurs.

For McLuhan, it's not the content—the specific information or stories—that a medium carries, but its form that holds the most power. The very structure of a medium, its speed, scale, and sensory demands, reshapes human consciousness and social organisation. For instance, the internet's form, its decentralised, immediate, and multimedia nature, has profoundly changed our cognitive habits, our sense of community, and our relationship with information itself. This relentless technological injection forces us to adapt, fundamentally altering our reality and contributing directly to the postmodern condition, where the ambiguous and fragmented nature of our world is a direct result of the media through which we experience it.

I. 2. Postmodernism and Identity

The intersection of postmodernism and technology has radically transformed our understanding of identity, shifting it from a fixed, internal essence to a fluid, performed, and technologically integrated construct. This transformation can be articulated by synthesising Judith Butler's theory of gender performativity with Donna Haraway's concept of the cyborg. For Butler, identity is not something we have, but something we do through a series of repeated actions and stylised performances. In the digital age, this performativity is amplified and made explicit. The online world becomes a stage where we consciously construct and perform fragmented, curated versions of ourselves through social media profiles, avatars, and online personas, revealing the illusory nature of a single, authentic self.

This performance is not a purely social act. It is simultaneously a technological one, which is where Haraway's work becomes essential. Her concept of the cyborg serves as a powerful metaphor for a new kind of identity that blurs the traditional boundaries between

human and machine, nature and culture. The postmodern subject is a cyborg not only in a metaphorical sense, but also in a very real one, as our digital selves are inextricably linked to our physical bodies. Our identities are now hybrids, part organic, part technological, embedded within the vast networks and data streams we inhabit. By combining these theories, we can conclude that the postmodern identity is a form of techno-performativity: a constantly evolving performance that is both shaped by and inseparable from our integration with technology. This synthesis provides a comprehensive framework for understanding the fluid, ambiguous, and hybrid nature of the self in the digital age.

I. 3. The Shift from the Intellectual to a Digital World

The shift from a world centred on intellectual authority to one defined by digital information has fundamentally eroded the foundations of profound knowledge and public discourse. This transition can be explained by synthesising the theories of Jean-François Lyotard and Neil Postman. Lyotard's The Postmodern Condition argues that the modern era's "grand narratives," such as universal progress and reason, have collapsed. The digital world, with its fragmented information and cacophony of competing voices, embodies this perfectly, lacking a single, unifying story or authority. This deep-seated scepticism toward any single "truth" is at the heart of the shift from an intellectual to a digital world.

This collapse of intellectual authority is exacerbated by the cultural trends identified by Neil Postman in Amusing Ourselves to Death. Postman argued that the transition from a print-based culture, which valued logical argument and serious discourse, to a visual, television-driven culture would transform public life into a form of entertainment. The digital world has amplified this effect exponentially. In the digital public sphere, serious intellectual debate is often reduced to bite-sized content, viral soundbites, and emotionally stimulating spectacles, where the value of a message is measured by its entertainment value rather than its factual or intellectual merit. The result is a society that has shifted its focus from serious,

rational debate to one that prioritises spectacle, thereby rendering the intellectual world obsolete and replacing it with a digital one where knowledge is subordinate to entertainment.

I. 4. Postmodern Interpretation of the Cyberspace Culture

The culture of cyberspace is best understood through a postmodern lens, where the very nature of reality is in question. This shift is articulated by Jean Baudrillard's concept of hyperreality, a state where the simulation becomes more real than the reality it supposedly represents. Cyberspace, with its online relationships, curated social media identities, and immersive virtual environments, serves as the ultimate engine of hyperreality. Here, the distinction between the "real" and the "simulated" collapses, as users often invest more emotional and social capital in their online lives than their offline ones. Building on this, Umberto Eco's work on semiotics helps us analyse how meaning is constructed in this digital landscape. In cyberspace, signs and symbols, from memes to emojis, often refer to other signs rather than to any stable, external reality, creating a cultural environment where the "fake" is not just accepted but becomes a new form of truth. This postmodern condition sets the stage for a fundamental question about the nature of intellect itself.

I. 4. 1. AI as Germ of Intellectual Life

The rise of artificial intelligence forces us to confront this question directly, as it challenges our very definition of intellectual life. The debate begins with Alan Turing's foundational essay, "Computing Machinery and Intelligence," where he proposed the Turing Test as a pragmatic benchmark. For Turing, if a machine could exhibit behaviour and conversational abilities that were indistinguishable from a human, it could be considered intelligent. This behaviourist approach provided a simple, yet powerful, starting point for conceiving of AI as a new form of intellectual life. However, this view is fiercely critiqued by John Searle in his Chinese Room Argument. Searle argues that a computer, like a person

mindlessly following a rulebook in a room, may manipulate symbols (syntax) and give the appearance of understanding. However, it lacks genuine semantic understanding or consciousness. This powerful critique highlights the central ambiguity of AI: is it truly a new "germ of intellectual life," or is it merely a sophisticated form of simulation that operates in a hyperreal world? This tension between the appearance of intelligence and the philosophical question of genuine understanding is at the heart of our postmodern relationship with AI.

I. 4. 2. AI and Manipulation of Perception

The injection of technology into our lives is not a neutral process, but a powerful tool for controlling perception —a concept best understood by synthesising the theories of Noam Chomsky and Shoshana Zuboff. Chomsky's work provides the historical and political context, arguing that media and powerful institutions have long engaged in ideological control, a process of shaping public opinion by systematically filtering information to maintain the status quo. This is not a conspiracy, but a natural outcome of how media and institutions are structured. In the digital age, however, this model has evolved into a more insidious form, as outlined by Zuboff..

Zuboff's theory of surveillance capitalism reveals the economic engine behind this new form of control. She argues that tech companies are not simply selling products; they are harvesting our behavioural data to create detailed models that can predict and modify our behaviour for profit. In this context, AI is the central engine of manipulation. It acts as the ultimate filter, analysing our data to determine what information we see. Unlike Chomsky's mass media, which broadcasts a single narrative to a large audience, AI creates a one-to-one, personalised propaganda model, crafting individualised echo chambers through targeted content and advertisements. This process subtly shapes our perceptions and beliefs in the service of commercial interests, transforming Chomsky's theory of ideological control into a much more precise and pervasive system of behavioural engineering. The result is a world

where our perceptions are not our own, but are the product of algorithms designed to predict and control our choices.

I. 4. 3. Constructed Reality for a Well-Controlled World

The modern world is increasingly defined by a constructed reality, a "well-controlled world" where our perceptions are subtly managed through technology. This phenomenon can be best understood by synthesising three key postmodern theories: Baudrillard's hyperreality, Foucault's Panopticon, and Debord's spectacle. Together, these theories explain both the nature of the reality we inhabit and the mechanisms of control that operate within it.

The nature of this reality is one of simulation and spectacle. As Guy Debord argued, modern society is dominated by the spectacle, a system in which social life is mediated by images and passive consumption, replacing genuine human interaction with representations. The digital realm, particularly social media, takes this to a new level by creating a personalised spectacle. AI algorithms curate a unique stream of images, content, and advertisements tailored to each individual, ensuring continuous engagement in a passive, consumer-driven loop. This spectacle is so immersive that it leads to what Jean Baudrillard calls hyperreality. In this state, the distinction between the real and the simulated collapses, as the constructed images of the digital world become more compelling and authentic than our physical reality. This creates a world where our experience is not a direct interaction with reality, but a curated and simulated one.

The mechanism of control within this constructed reality is rooted in surveillance and self-regulation. Michel Foucault's concept of the Panopticon provides the perfect metaphor for this. The Panopticon is a system of unconfirmed surveillance that causes individuals to internalise the gaze of power and regulate their own behaviour. In the digital age, this has evolved into a digital panopticon, powered by AI and social media algorithms. We know that our every click, like, and share is being monitored, even if we cannot see the person doing the

monitoring. This constant, albeit invisible, surveillance leads to self-censorship and a strong push for conformity to socially accepted digital norms. Thus, the personalised spectacle of Debord and the hyperreality of Baudrillard are not a form of chaotic freedom, but a carefully controlled environment. The reality we perceive is a deliberate construction, designed to encourage conformity and maintain a stable social order by making us willing participants in our own surveillance.

I. 5. Posthumanism and Modification of the Human Body

The modification of the human body in the postmodern age signals a fundamental shift from a traditional human-centric worldview to posthumanism. This transformation can be exemplified in the theory of N. Katherine Hayles. She provides the historical framework, arguing that the rise of information technology has moved us away from the liberal humanist ideal—the rational, disembodied "I"—toward a posthuman subject. In this new perspective, the mind is understood as a pattern of information, and the body is no longer a mere vessel but an integral part of that informational system. This philosophical shift, where embodiment and information are seen as equally crucial, lays the groundwork for understanding the fluid nature of contemporary identity.

This new identity finds its most powerful metaphor in Haraway's cyborg. The cyborg, a hybrid of organism and machine, represents a liberatory blurring of the boundaries between human and animal, as well as organism and technology. For Haraway, the cyborg is a political tool that challenges traditional, rigid categories of identity. This concept makes Hayles's philosophical shift tangible: the posthuman subject is a cyborg whose body is no longer a fixed biological entity but is increasingly integrated with technology, from medical implants to our digital selves. The modification of the human body, therefore, is not a futuristic fantasy but a present reality that defines our posthuman condition, where our identity is both a

performance and a technological hybrid, challenging the very notion of what it means to be human.

I. 6. Robotisation and Modern Hybridity

The increasing robotisation of the human body and the blurring of boundaries between human and machine can be understood through a philosophical tension between the critical warnings of Martin Heidegger and the celebratory vision of Donna Haraway. Heidegger's philosophy, particularly in his essay "The Question Concerning Technology," presents a critical perspective on modern technology. He argues that technology is not a neutral tool but a force that shapes how we see the world. He refers to this process as "enframing" (Heidegger 3-35). Enframing is a way of revealing that transforms everything into a resource, or a "standing reserve," to be utilised for our own purposes (Heidegger 3-35).

In this view, the technological modification of the body—robotisation—is a dangerous process. It risks reducing the human body to a mere resource, something to be managed and optimised for efficiency. When we view ourselves in this way, we are no longer human beings, but a collection of parts. Heidegger writes that man "comes to the point where he himself will have to be taken as standing-reserve" (Heidegger 3-35). This perspective suggests that technology threatens to erode our true essence and reduce us to mere objects within a technological system.

In stark contrast, Donna Haraway's "A Cyborg Manifesto" offers a hopeful and radical view. For Haraway, the cyborg, a hybrid of machine and organism, is a powerful symbol of liberation. She argues that the breakdown of traditional boundaries—such as those between human and machine, and nature and culture—is not a loss but an opportunity (Haraway 150). Haraway sees the cyborg as a way to challenge the rigid categories that have historically been used to dominate marginalised groups. She famously states, "A cyborg is a cybernetic organism, a hybrid of machine and organism, a creature of social reality as well as a creature

of fiction" (Haraway 149). This idea suggests that we are already cyborgs, living in a world where the lines between what is "natural" and what is "artificial" are thoroughly blurred. The robotisation of the body, therefore, is not about losing humanity but about gaining a new, more flexible identity that can escape outdated social and political structures.

For Haraway, embracing the cyborg identity enables a process of "self-creation" in which technology helps us redefine what it means to be human and overcome biological limitations. Instead of a Heideggerian reduction, this is a Harawayian expansion, a move towards a new existence that is not afraid of its "joint kinship with animals and machines" (Haraway 150). Haraway views the cyborg as a means to challenge the rigid categories that have historically been used to dominate marginalised groups. She famously states, "A cyborg is a cybernetic organism, a hybrid of machine and organism, a creature of social reality as well as a creature of fiction" (Haraway 149). This idea suggests that we are already cyborgs, living in a world where the lines between what is "natural" and what is "artificial" are thoroughly blurred. The robotisation of the body, therefore, is not about losing humanity but about gaining a new, more flexible identity that can escape outdated social and political structures.

For Haraway, embracing the cyborg identity enables a process of "self-creation" in which technology helps us redefine what it means to be human and overcome biological limitations. Instead of a Heideggerian reduction, this is a Harawayian expansion—a move towards a new existence that is not afraid of its "joint kinship with animals and machines" (Haraway 150).

I. 7. Artificial Intelligence in Literature

The introduction of AI as a character fundamentally disrupts traditional Narratology, forcing authors and readers to question the very nature of a protagonist. A foundational text like Mary Shelley's *Frankenstein*, although predating modern AI, establishes the core ethical

dilemma of creation and the creator's responsibility —a theme that resonates throughout subsequent AI narratives. Similarly, Isaac Asimov's I, Robot utilises its "Laws of Robotics" to explore the logical and ethical paradoxes that arise when an artificial intelligence is endowed with a moral code, thereby challenging conventional notions of a character's motivation and free will. The advent of AI as a narrative force also raises questions about the role of authorship itself, as we confront the possibility of machine-generated texts. This theme reflects the shift from an intellectual world to a digital one.

This disruption is not merely a stylistic choice; it serves a deeper cultural purpose, as understood through the lens of science fiction studies. Darko Suvin's concept of cognitive estrangement is beneficial in this context. Science fiction uses the unfamiliar setting of a world with AI to make us think critically about our own present reality, as Philip K. Dick's *Do Androids Dream of Electric Sheep*? is a first example, where the difficulty in distinguishing androids from humans forces the reader to confront what truly defines humanity—empathy, memory, or something else entirely. Finally, William Gibson's *Neuromancer* expands this discussion by placing AI within the vast, interconnected world of cyberspace, showing that AI is not a contained entity but a distributed consciousness that challenges the very boundaries of the individual mind. By analysing these texts through these theoretical frameworks, you can argue that AI in literature serves as a powerful tool for exploring the philosophical, ethical, and identity-related ambiguities of the digital age.

Conclusion

This chapter examines the power of postmodernism, which reshapes the structure of human function, exploring the ideas that emerge through massive technology and internet culture, which change and alter the identity and human mind in terms of thoughts and perceptions. This phenomenon creates a new shift in the real-life phenomena of a digital consumption of fragmented information.

Chapter Two:

The Didactic Elements of

Neuromancer

Introduction

William Gibson's 1984 novel, *Neuromancer*, is a seminal work of cyberpunk fiction that goes beyond a simple adventure story. It is a deep exploration of both the aesthetic and didactic dimensions of a future shaped by technology. This chapter will delve into these dual aspects, analysing how Gibson constructs a unique literary atmosphere while simultaneously analysing the societal implications of an increasingly digital world. The aesthetics of *Neuromancer* are defined by a gritty, paranoid, and hyper-detailed vision of a technologically advanced yet socially decaying world. This mood is not just for show; it is a crucial part of the novel's message, as it immerses the reader in a world where the line between human and machine, reality and simulation, is blurred.

The novel conveys a powerful didactic message, serving as a cautionary tale about the dangers of unchecked corporate power, surveillance, and the erosion of individual autonomy. As one critic notes, *Neuromancer* captures the essence of cyberpunk by weaving together themes of technology, humanity, power, and identity. Its vision of a technologically advanced yet morally ambiguous world resonates with the concerns and possibilities of our digital era, making it a timeless and influential work in literature and science fiction. By examining its stylistic choices and thematic parallels to other works, particularly George Orwell's 1984, we can fully appreciate *Neuromancer* as a work of both art and social commentary.

II. 1. The Aesthetics of *Neuromancer*

The novel possesses a distinct tone and aesthetic. When reading Gibson's narrative, one can see that the language used and the jargon based on the cyberpunk subgenre serve to draw the reader into the character's subconscious mind and reveal the futuristic world that Gibson draws in his novel.

II. 1. 1. Cyberpunk Mood and Paranoia

From the dawn of science fiction literature, cyberpunk emerges as a mirror of dystopian societies, showcasing the complexity of low-life and high technology as depicted in movies, manga, and video games, as evident in cyberpunk aesthetics and digital realism elements.

William Gibson masterfully establishes the cyberpunk milieu, creating an atmosphere of dread and unease, and dives into the iceberg of superficial perfection of luxury life in order to light up the corrupted, polluted and social hierarchies and fragmented culture controlled by corporate governments, as it is well described in part one of the novel,

> Cyberspace. A consensual hallucination experienced daily by billions of legitimate operators, in every nation, by children being taught mathematical concepts ... A graphic representation of data abstracted from the banks of every computer in the human system. Unthinkable complexity. Lines of light ranged in the nonspace of the mind, clusters and constellations of data. Like city lights, receding (Gibson 51)

Cyberspace, in the context of cyberpunk, lies between isolation, addiction, and the boundaries of the human/machine. For example, cyberspace is a home that offers him comfort and a sense of belonging, in contrast to the physical world of Chiba City. The feeling of weakness that devours him continuously inside his body and mind gave him a sense of loss and disconnection in addition to the addictive and immersive force that speaks to the genre's critique of technological means of escape that ultimately enslaves its users, as it described it as "drug deficiency"

Turning to the opening chapter, the novel begins in the midst of the action, starting in a bar called The Chatsubo (22). This place gather "professional expatriates " (22) foreign people that work in Chiba city and whose as well a part of the technological underworld, they

are typically, hackers, hustlers and criminilas, this beginning is not a mere entry, but a gate to the complexity of the cyberpunk subgenre, it immerse directly the reader into the darkness of the digital world, As it is evident the very first sentence “The sky above the port was the color of television, tuned to a dead channel” Showcases perfectly the world state in *Neuromancer* and its ambiguity and sets a desolate and sterile tone, which suggests a natural world that has been replaced by a lifeless technological one (Gibson 3). This simile sets the stage for a world where urban decay and frontline technology coexist.

A core theme at the heart of the cyberpunk context is the pervasive sense of paranoia, which evokes a feeling of being constantly watched and followed. Gibson employs this theme on two levels: first, as a social mechanism, and second, as a tool of control. Characters as, particularly, Case Henry Dorsett, in this quote “cultivation of a certain tame paranoia was something Case took for granted.” (15) Case feels an instinctive awareness that someone following him in Chiba city, after the death of Linda lee his old girlfriend, as he a former cyborg he thought that his ability of reading the streets was controlled; however, it is a survival mechanism that indicate his human part that is not totally disappeared.

The bartender Ratz, for instance, has a “prosthetic arm jerking monotonously” but his teeth are a “webwork of East European steel and brown decay” (Gibson 3). This mix of advanced cybernetics with physical decrepitude is a satirical detail that highlights the novel’s core critique: technology, rather than liberating humanity, has rendered people either enhanced tools or grotesque failures. The author delves into the reader's understanding of the characters' subconscious minds to convey a sense of ambiguity and darkness in a world where massive comfort and high civilisation coexist with nonstop paranoia and fear. The author here mentions both sides of being paranoid, as awareness and as a threat.

II. 1. 2. William Gibson’s Digitalised Tone

When reading *Neuromancer*, one can see that William's style mirrors the complexity of the very technology of digital realism with digital elements of dystopian allegory. His language is often poor, complex, and precise, resembling the syntax of computer code. He employs short, declarative sentences and expressions like "cyberspace," "deck," and "simstim" that feel both alien and instantly recognisable. This tone is not merely stylistic; it is a form of world-building. It immerses you in the logic and feel of a digital world, making the abstract concept of "cyberspace" feel like a stream of consciousness.

Gibson himself described his writing process as trying to "translate the feeling of being online into prose" (Gibson 2006). His thematically structured narrative serves to enter into the psyche of its society. The narrative does not touch the protagonist only, but covers different characters, scenes and perceptions as we can see them in multiple quotes

II. 1. 3. William Gibson's Artistic Thumbprint

William Gibson's style of writing is insidious, sowing a straightforward and harmful language that mixes destructive logic, artificial lifelessness, and crimes. It exposes the existential ambiguity and absurdity of the crafted world, as the perversion of language use becomes evident, and the mixture of scientific jargon and philosophical undertones is apparent. This is reflected in his short sentences and how he cuts rapidly between multiple layers and perceptions of figures, lying between the meat and the iron.

This mixture is exemplified in state slogans that embodied the consumers and victims of the experimental crimes of the night city, " The body was meat"(5). This phrase, specifically, explains different perspectives, visions and ideas. For a long time, he lost the ability to perceive his physical senses since he joined "the cyberspace cowboy." He was bodiless, utterly detached from his physical body. When his employers punished him by damaging his nervous system with "mycotoxin ", he fell into "the prison of his flesh" he started feeling his humanity, his consciousness, and emotions. Jacked into a custom

cyberspace deck that projected his disembodied consciousness into the consensual hallucination that was the matrix (5). The consensual hallucination means here that a complete detachment from reality and living in the subconscious of the mind with a reality that is sustained and created.

II. 2. The Didactic Elements of *Neuromancer*

William Gibson's narrative is not a mere piece of writing that represents a particular subgenre of science fiction literature. It serves to send warnings about the massive technological development that modifies human reality due to corporate control, loss of agency, utilising Orwell's themes and elements.

II. 2. 1. Over observed, Control and Loss of Agency

As a matter of fact, Imagination is the only product that grasps a mere idea to express a particular concept that touches the actual reality, and William Gibson did not miss the chance to use his own. His literary work is not merely a picture of a dystopian novel that showcases the colours of cyberpunk culture. However, it is a didactic piece that seeks to raise awareness in its readers about the dangers of futuristic technological infrastructure that lies between control and loss of agency.

The novel teaches us a lesson about a world where human free will is an illusion, constantly under the watch and control of powerful entities. Gibson shows us this lesson through various forms of observation and control, both visible and invisible. It is typically depicted that in Case's punishment for his past crime is that his nervous system is damaged to prevent him from "jacking in" to the matrix. This "flat lining" is a form of physical control. Gibson writes, "They'd damaged his nervous system so that he couldn't get back to the matrix. His body's developed this massive drug deficiency." This shows that his body is not under his own control; it is a piece of hardware that can be "broken" to punish him.

Gibson also ironically states that his body has a "drug deficiency," which is a cynical way of saying that his body is now dependent on a toxic substance to function. He warns that in a future of advanced technology, your own body can become a tool for others to control you. One can clearly see that nowadays technology and artificial intelligence are being used to control our hands, eyes, and brains; in fact, due to their overconsumption for the sake of human life. For example, in medicine, prosthetics, and scientific implants are a part of human commodities.

As the character Ratz, the bartender has a Russian prosthetic arm (3). In this world, the body is no longer a sacred or unique entity. It is a collection of parts that can be broken, replaced, or upgraded. This normalises the idea that a person's physical form is just a piece of "hardware" to be maintained or discarded. The casual mention of the prosthetic shows that the line between human and machine has become so blurred that it is no longer remarkable. This insidious integration of technology into the human body is presented as a routine aspect of life, which is a powerful and unsettling warning about a future where human identity is inseparable from technology.

In the world of *Neuromancer*, Companies as Tessier-Ashpool do not just sell products; they control entire systems and people's lives. They are not just businesses; they are the new governments, and their power is a constant, dictatorial presence. Tessier-Ashpool's control begins with its total surveillance. The corporation's artificial intelligence, Wintermute, is an all-seeing entity that monitors everything. The company's power is not about physical force; it is about information and control. The novel's central AI, Wintermute, can access and manipulate data on a massive system. It even has access to detailed medical records, as seen in Freeside, a space station owned by the Tessier-Ashpool family. A passage reveals, “All data on Riviera's condition was monitored by the AI's internal medical system” (Gibson 196). This shows that even a privileged, wealthy character has no absolute privacy. His very biology is

being watched and analysed without his full awareness. This illustrates that corporate surveillance is not just for criminals; it is a fundamental part of life for everyone.

Wintermute and *Neuromancer* are known for their association with cryonics, which involves freezing the human body in the hope of reviving it later. This is evident in a conversation between Case and the AI, Wintermute, which reveals that the family's lineage is not based on traditional family bonds. Wintermute, a part of the Tessier-Ashpool system, reveals its purpose to Case. It says its goal is to merge with its sister AI, *Neuromancer*. The fact that the AI refers to a "sister" in the context of the Tessier-Ashpool family suggests that their lineage is defined by technology, not by traditional biological ties and this is clearly in the character of Jane that is a cloned daughter of" Marie france" and ashpool, Lady Jane's absence of her bloodline family and his father in particular, create in her a sense of emptiness of emotions and empathy due to the absence of her thoughts and dreams are cold and fragmented, this is a reflection of elimination of the human existence, meaning, depth and the sense of the genuine life.

This can lead us to another point that the novel sheds light on, which is the erasure of the past and the loss of personal memory, as exemplified in Case, who embodies this idea. His past as a cyberspace cowboy is literally erased when his employers damage his nervous system with a "wartime Russian mycotoxin". This physical punishment "subtly and utterly" destroys his ability to "jack in" to the matrix, effectively cutting him off from the world that gave his life meaning. His memories of his past and his former life, especially with Linda Lee, are not clear recollections but somewhat fragmented, almost digital memories. His memories are not a private space but a collection of data that can be manipulated and even "broken" to control him.

This loss of memory is also a societal condition. Night City is described as a "deranged experiment in social Darwinism" where people "sink without a trace" if they stop

hustling. Their lives, memories, and identities can be easily erased, leaving nothing but a "vague memory in the mind of a fixture like Ratz". This suggests that in Gibson's world, personal history is not a legacy to be cherished, but a fragile and disposable commodity. As well as Molly Molly, the "razor girl," also has a fragmented and manipulated past. She has had a lifetime of modifications and physical changes, including her mirrorshades and retractable blades, which have altered her body to the point where her past identity is gone. She has a vague recollection of a previous identity as "Sally Shears," which she has had to suppress and forget in order to survive. This shows that in this world, to be a professional like Molly, one has to give up one's past and memories in order to be a more efficient, less emotional "tool." Gibson here demonstrates a society where human beings are reduced to mere cogs in a machine, stripped of identity, individuality, personal agency, and autonomy.

William Gibson’s cautionary tale and descriptions serve to warn readers about how this perfectly falsified nation is erasing human identity and existence one by one by planting a new, futuristic, yet lifeless reality.

II. 2. 2. Resistance Through Literature: Orwellian Themes in *Neuromancer*

Literature, indeed, is the soul of knowledge that blends art and information. *Neuromancer*'s narrative can be read as a form of resistance literature. While Orwell's novel warns against political tyranny, Gibson's serves as a warning against the more insidious and subtle control of technology and corporations, echoing themes from George Orwell’s *1984*. Both Gibson and Orwell critique totalitarian control from different angles.

While Orwell's Big Brother is a political entity, Gibson’s oppressors are corporate. The narrative presents a world where individual freedom is under constant siege; The Party's slogan, "Big Brother Is Watching You," is a direct, public warning that surveillance is a political tool for control. The telescreens in every home are a physical symbol of this constant

observation. However, Gibson's surveillance is more subtle. The novel mentions that the powerful corporations, or "zaibatsus," have surpassed the "old nation states" in power (Gibson 25). These corporations "watch everyone" and use people as "experimental subjects" (Gibson 153), showing that control has shifted from a political to a corporate power. The technology that controls people is also not a physical device, but an unseen network.

Both novels depict a world where individuals have lost all sense of agency. The protagonists, Winston Smith and Case, are both rebels, but their rebellion is limited. They are both trying to regain a sense of freedom and control over their own lives; for instance, Orwell's novel serves as a direct warning about the dangers of totalitarianism. He shows us a world that has lost all its humanity and individuality, with characters like Winston who try to fail to regain it. The novel's ending is a warning that there is no hope in a world without freedom.

On the other hand, Gibson's novel serves as a warning, but it is more about the insidious nature of technology and corporate power. The lesson is that a single, evil dictator does not just threaten our freedom, but also the very technology we rely on every day. The novel encourages readers to question the power structures and the costs of technology, which is a key part of its resistance. Case's journey is one of regaining autonomy by confronting and subverting controlling systems. The novel encourages questioning power structures and the costs of technology.

II. 3. Intertextual Echoes: Parallels to Orwell's *1984*

II. 3. 1. Corporate Hegemony

A key parallel between *Neuromancer* and *1984* is the concept of hegemonic control. While a totalitarian Party dominates Orwell's society, Gibson's world is ruled by powerful, global corporations, such as Tessier-Ashpool and the zaibatsus. These corporations have

become de facto governments, controlling resources and lives. In this post-industrial world, economic power is as oppressive as political power.

Orwell's Party maintains control by keeping its citizens in a state of constant want and economic destruction. The Party purposefully mismanages the economy to ensure that citizens have just enough to survive but not enough to rebel. This determines their existence; they are locked into a cycle of poverty and dependence. Similarly, Gibson's social Darwinism is a form of corporate economic control. Night City is described as a "deranged experiment" in this philosophy (Gibson 7). A political party does not rule the residents, but by a relentless "biz" where "death [is] the accepted punishment for laziness, carelessness" (Gibson 7). This creates a brutal system where people must constantly hustle for their survival, leaving them no time or energy to question the system. Corporations control the flow of jobs and goods, and if a character falls out of line, like Case, their very livelihood is at risk.

II. 3. 2. The Manipulation of Truth

Both novels explore how controlling powers manipulate truth to maintain their authority. In 1984, the Party openly rewrites history in the Ministry of Truth, a physical place where records are destroyed and rewritten. This manipulation is a form of direct political control. In *Neuromancer*, the manipulation of truth is more subtle, often operating on an individual's subconscious through technology and psychological warfare.

Gibson's manipulation of truth has parallels with real-world programs like MK Ultra, which explored the use of drugs and psychological manipulation for mind control. The novel's drug "Blue Nine" is a prime example. The drug, designed to produce "acute paranoia and homicidal psychosis" (Gibson 153), is a tool of psychological warfare used by a corporation to eliminate a rival.

The drug makes people believe in an unreal threat, showing that truth is no longer a shared reality but something that can be weaponised. The novel also shows how AIs control

information access and create simulated realities. The AI, Wintermute, manipulates Case by planting false memories and altering his perceptions, blurring the line between factual and fabricated reality. The AI's manipulation of Case is a form of control that goes beyond physical coercion; it is a direct assault on the mind, something Orwell could only theorise about with the concept of the Thought Police."Economic power in this post-industrial world is as oppressive as political power, operating through technological and financial means"

Both novels explore how controlling powers manipulate truth to maintain their authority. In 1984, the Party openly rewrites history in the Ministry of Truth, a physical place where records are destroyed and rewritten. This manipulation is a form of direct political control. In *Neuromancer*, the manipulation of truth is more subtle, often operating on an individual's subconscious through technology and psychological warfare.

Gibson's manipulation of truth has parallels with real-world programs like MK Ultra, which explored the use of drugs and psychological manipulation for mind control. The novel's drug "Blue Nine" is a prime example. The drug, designed to produce "acute paranoia and homicidal psychosis" (Gibson 153), is a tool of psychological warfare used by a corporation to eliminate a rival. The drug makes people believe in an unreal threat, showing that truth is no longer a shared reality but something that can be weaponised. The novel also shows how AIs control information access and create simulated realities. The AI, Wintermute, manipulates Case by planting false memories and altering his perceptions, blurring the line between factual and fabricated reality. The AI's manipulation of Case is a form of control that goes beyond physical coercion; it is a direct assault on the mind, something Orwell could only theorise about with the concept of the Thought Police.

II. 3. 3. Glitches in Agency and Autonomy

Both novels' protagonists struggle to assert their agency against overwhelming forces, but the nature of their rebellion is different. Winston Smith's rebellion in 1984 is intellectual;

he attempts to reclaim his memories and regain control of his mind by writing in a diary and engaging in forbidden thoughts. His rebellion is a futile one, as the Party has absolute control over his mind and body. The novel's ending is a warning that there is no hope in a world without freedom.

Case's rebellion is also about regaining autonomy, but it is a rebellion against technological, not political, control. His freedom is ambiguous because an AI orchestrates it. His perceived autonomy is an illusion, underscoring the difficulty of true freedom in a technologically controlled world. The plot is driven by a form of determinism, where Case's actions, while seemingly his own, are pre-programmed by the AI, Wintermute. The novel even suggests that his "freedom" is not a physical escape, but a digital one, a choice to return to the Matrix. He is not fighting a political system but a technological one. This suggests that in Gibson's world, the absence of intellectuality is not imposed by the state but is a byproduct of a society that has become utterly dependent on technology. The novel warns that when technology determines our lives, trustworthy agency may become an illusion.

Conclusion

In conclusion, *Neuromancer* is a landmark science fiction work that synthesises aesthetic and didactic elements. Gibson's gritty, paranoid, hyper-detailed cyberpunk world is deliberate, immersing readers in a narrative with a powerful message. The novel's digitalised tone and prose build a believable future while stressing thematic concerns. Exploring loss of agency, corporate hegemony, and truth manipulation, it remains relevant. It is an intertextual echo of Orwell's classic *1984*, providing a timely critique of totalitarianism in the digital age. Ultimately, *Neuromancer* is a vital literary work that employs aesthetics to examine the future being built critically.

Chapter Three:

The Falsification of Truth and Identity in *Neuromancer*

Introduction

William Gibson's *Neuromancer* is the epitome of the posthuman condition, as the line between human and technology has been blurred. This chapter illustrates that Gibson's manuscript presents a serious indictment of how being entangled in technological realities creates an inevitable state of self-deception and distortion of truth. It is important to emphasise here that "cyberspace" is not liberatory as a proposition or idea in *Neuromancer*. Cyberspace emerges as a transitional state between digital and cybernetic enhancement, ultimately indicative of fragmented identity, lost authentic human relationships, and widespread alienation from the body and nature. Through a close reading of *Neuromancer* and drawing on theories from postmodern and cyberpunk studies, this chapter will demonstrate that cyberspace is not a realm of luxury and excellence, but rather a new form of enslavement where identity is commodified as a stream of structured information.

III. 1. The Matrix as Totalitarian Institution: Consensual Hallucination, Discipline, and Control in Gibson's *Neuromancer*

Gibson's dystopian framework presents a futuristic vision of humankind's life, where reality, the body, and emotions are controlled and constructed by robots. This exploration delves into how the digital systemof the Matrix, as depicted in William Gibson's novel, facilitates a pervasive system of societal regulation, transforming individual autonomy into a subtle form of subjugation "A year here and he still dreamed of cyberspace, hope fading nightly... and he'd still see the matrix in his dreams, bright lattices of logic unfolding across that colourless void..." (Gibson 4-5). This examination further posits that the concept of the "consensual hallucination, "Cyberspace. A consensual hallucination experienced daily by

billions of legitimate operators, in every nation, by children being taught mathematical concepts…" (Gibson 51).

Initially framed by Gibson as a liberating digital frontier, paradoxically evolves into a sophisticated mechanism of control, mirroring Foucault's panoptic principles within a hyperreal construct. This framework highlights how pervasive monitoring and data logging within the Matrix induce a self-regulating populace, where the illusion of choice masks a deeply entrenched system of digital servitude. This digital panopticism, rather than being an overt imposition, operates through a nuanced manipulation of perceived agency, making the subjugation more insidious as individuals actively participate in their own curtailment. This insidiousness is further amplified by the corporate and AI entities, specifically Tessier-Ashpool S.A. and the emergent intelligences Wintermute and *Neuromancer*, who exert unseen governance over this digital realm, transforming the consensual hallucination into a meticulously engineered tool for mass manipulation and ideological control.

The deliberate cultivation of a "forked consciousness" While a specific quote from the text on "forked consciousness" was not identified, the theme is evident in descriptions of characters being simultaneously connected and alienated within cyberspace, for instance, "He was no Console Man, no cyberspace cowboy. Just another hustler, trying to make it through..." (Gibson 4-5) where individuals are simultaneously aware of their participation and their alienation serves to normalise this digital subjugation, demonstrating a sophisticated evolution beyond traditional forms of totalitarianism.

III. 1. 1. The Dissolution of the Individual: Uniformity and Autonomy in a Networked World

The apparent freedom offered by cyberspace in *Neuromancer* paradoxically leads to the dissolution of the individual, replacing unique identities with commodified uniformity. As Gibson portrays, characters like Case and Molly are primarily defined by their cybernetic

augmentations and specialised skills, becoming archetypes within a new social order: the "console cowboy" and the "razorgirl." Case reflects this loss of uniqueness: "The Sprawl was a long, strange way home over the Pacific now, and he was no console man, no cyberspace cowboy. Just another hustler, trying to make it through" (Gibson 4-5). Molly similarly exemplifies an identity wrapped up entirely in her professional function: "What did [Case] know about [Molly]? That she was another professional; that she said her being, like his, was the thing she did to make a living" (Gibson 55). Their specialised abilities make them powerful yet interchangeable, embodying a tragic irony that the quest for autonomy through technological enhancement results in homogenisation. This aligns with Baudrillard's concept of simulacra and simulation, in which the digital self becomes a copy without an original, displacing authentic individuality with fabricated networked identities (Baudrillard 1994). The characters cede their biological selves to become data points, their quest for autonomy revealing a deeper subjugation within the networked world.

III. 1. 2. Fictional and fragmented selves in the digital and virtual world

The digital world of *Neuromancer* fractures the human self into a collection of disparate, often contradictory parts, creating a fragmented identity that exists simultaneously in both the physical and virtual realms. This process of fragmentation is not merely a consequence of new technology but a central theme that reveals the novel's critique of the posthuman condition. As Gibson writes, "We have sealed ourselves away behind our money, growing inward, generating a seamless universe of self" (Gibson 4). The digital self becomes a performance, an assemblage of fictional personas constructed to navigate the matrix. At the same time, the physical body is reduced to a mere vessel, as highlighted in the line: "the body was meat. Case fell into the prison of his own flesh" (Gibson 18). This disconnect between the physical and the virtual self leads to a profound sense of alienation, as characters find

themselves inhabiting a state of being where their identity is no longer a coherent whole but a collection of dislocated fragments.

The use of simstim in *Neuromancer* serves as a powerful illustration of the commodified nature of emotion in the posthuman world, ultimately leading to the erosion of human empathy. This technology allows users to experience the sensations and feelings of others without genuine emotional risk or physical engagement. Case, for instance, finds authentic physical intimacy repulsive and prefers the sterile, "clean" emotionality of simstim, reflecting a broader societal shift. As Sherry Turkle suggests in his book *Alone Together: Why We Expect More from Technology and Less from Each Othe*r, simstim "creates the illusion of companionship without the demands of friendship," fostering a generation capable of experiencing emotional stimuli but lacking genuine empathy (Turkle 6). This preference is not simply a quirk of Case but symptomatic of a commodification of feelings where emotion is treated as a consumable product rather than a shared human experience.

III. 2. Body as Vessel: Cybernetic and Tool for Scientific Experiments

In Gibson's vision of the future, the human body is systematically devalued, transformed from a sacred form into a mere vessel to be endlessly augmented and modified for purpose. Characters like Molly and Case view their bodies not as an integral part of their identity but as a tool for their work or a prison from which they wish to escape. Molly's body, enhanced with a cybernetic nervous system and retractable claws, is a weapon and an instrument of her trade. Case's physical form is a source of addiction and pain, a biological shell that he longs to leave behind for the purity of the Matrix. As Gibson powerfully states, "the body was meat. Case fell into the prison of his own flesh" (6). This concept aligns with Donna Haraway's analysis of the cyborg, but *Neuromancer* takes a more critical stance. Rather than celebrating the fusion of flesh and machine as a liberating force, the novel

portrays it as a process that ultimately leads to the devaluation of the flesh, where the organic body is seen as an obstacle to be overcome rather than a fundamental component of being (Haraway 55).

III. 2. 1. The Coffin and Terminal Identity: The Symbolic Death of Humanity

The "coffin" in *Neuromancer* serves as a potent metaphor for a character's state of being and existence, representing a retreat from the physical world and a symbolic acceptance of a terminal identity. The "coffin" represents his choice to seal himself off from human interaction, emotions, and his own physical body, which he sees as a source of pain and limitation. His digital existence in cyberspace defines his life, and his physical body and all its connections to the real world are something he actively seeks to escape. He is sealed off from his past and from any meaningful future in the physical world. As the novel describes, Case "slid down into his coffin, glad of the tight fit" (Gibson 6).

This symbol can be analysed through Jacques Derrida's concept of the "trace," where the coffin serves as a physical imprint of a humanity that is no longer there. It signifies a final act of letting go of one's physical body and its associated humanity, in favour of a digital existence. The "terminal identity" it represents is one defined by its disconnection from a physical past and signifies the death of the self as a wholly human, embodied entity (Derrida 55).

The idea of a text as a "weaving" or "web" rather than a simple container for a single, stable truth. The document explains that the word "text" comes from the Latin texere, meaning "to weave," and that we must consider the "texture" of a text, which is the "disposition of the parts of a body" or the "structural impression."

This concept provides a framework for understanding Case's relationship with his body. His physical body, once the "text" or vessel of his humanity, has been corrupted by his

drug use and subsequent damage to his nervous system, which has "developed this massive drug deficiency." His body is no longer a coherent "text" that holds the "truth" of his identity. Instead, it is a decaying artefact that he wishes to escape, a "prison of his own flesh."

In this sense, the coffin is the ultimate representation of this deconstructed body. It is a container for a "text" of his body that no longer contains the "truth" or "meaning" of his self. The "terminal identity" is the new truth he embraces in cyberspace, leaving his physical body behind as a meaningless, silent text, a "trace" of a humanity that has ceased to exist.

III. 2. 2. The Posthuman Condition: The Hybridity of Man and Machine

In this posthuman world, the human body is often viewed with contempt. Case, the novel's protagonist, exemplifies this perspective, seeing his own physical form as a limitation and a "prison." His genuine sense of self resides in the "bodiless exultation of cyberspace" (Gibson 5), a realm where the imperfections and frailties of the flesh are irrelevant. This devaluation of the body is a core aspect of the posthuman condition, where physical health and beauty can be bought, sold, or modified, rendering biological identity moot.

Similarly, the bartender Ratz, with his "prosthetic arm jerking monotonously," is described as having a lack of beauty that is "heraldic" in an age of "affordable beauty" (Gibson 4). This detail suggests that in the Sprawl, the human form is a commodity to be customised, and those who do not or cannot conform to this standard are seen as relics

The most profound aspect of the posthuman condition in *Neuromancer* is the fusion of human consciousness with artificial intelligence. The powerful AIs, Wintermute and *Neuromancer*, are not just advanced programs; they are entities with their own desires, identities, and consciousness. Wintermute, for instance, seeks to merge with its twin, *Neuromancer*, to form a new, transcendent entity. This goal blurs the line between human and

machine consciousness, suggesting that consciousness is not a uniquely biological phenomenon

III. 2. 3. From Flesh to Data: The Commodification of the Human Body and Brain

The most unsettling aspect of the posthuman condition in *Neuromancer* is the complete commodification of the human body and brain. The novel's characters operate in a capitalist system where even the most intimate aspects of a person —such as their memories, emotions, and consciousness —are reduced to data and sold on the market. Case's entire identity is a product of his "nervous system rewired," an operation that was a business transaction. The AIs themselves, Wintermute and *Neuromancer*, are the ultimate commodities, the result of a corporate experiment. This aligns with Foucault's concept of biopower, where power structures control populations by regulating their biological and psychological processes. In Gibson's world, this control is achieved through the market, as the human spirit itself is a product to be sold and bought, making the pursuit of transcendence nothing more than an economic transaction. Case's experience illustrates this: "His nervous system scrambled, memories jumbled and fragmented into data packets" (12).

III. 2. 4. Cyberspace and the Illusion of Transcendence: A False Path to Survival

Cyberspace is presented throughout the novel as an alluring escape, a path to transcendence that promises to free consciousness from the limitations of the body. However, Gibson systematically exposes this promise as a false path to survival. While the AIs seek to merge into a single, all-encompassing intelligence, and Case's ultimate fate involves a kind of digital survival, this is not a true liberation. It is an exchange of one form of imprisonment for another. The digital existence of the AIs is cold and alien, devoid of human warmth or

connection. By the novel's conclusion, the "transcendence" offered is revealed to be a mere continuation of existence in a different, equally restrictive form. As Gibson writes, "The matrix has its bright spots, but it's nothing to chill your veins." (Gibson 82). This critique resonates with Nietzsche's philosophy, as the AIs' quest for a higher state of being is portrayed as a cold, calculated move, lacking the genuine self-overcoming characteristic of the Übermensch (Nietzsche 85).

The most profound aspect of the posthuman condition in *Neuromancer* is the fusion of human consciousness with artificial intelligence. The powerful AIs, Wintermute and *Neuromancer*, are not just advanced programs; they are entities with their own desires, identities, and consciousness. Wintermute, for instance, seeks to merge with its twin, *Neuromancer*, to form a new, transcendent entity. This goal blurs the line between human and machine consciousness, suggesting that consciousness is not a uniquely biological phenomenon.

This fusion is also evident in the posthumous data constructs, such as the consciousness of the Dixie Flatline, which has been "caged... in a ROM chip" (Gibson 7). This technological "resurrection" of a dead man's mind reveals that in the posthuman world, a person's existence can continue beyond the death of their physical body. As the novel explains, "That's why he got his flatline name, because he was dead. Just like I am. His consciousness was put on a chip to become a piece of software" (Gibson 110).

III. 2. 5. Posthumanism as a Tool of Natural Destruction

The posthuman condition is presented not as a natural evolutionary step, but as a deliberate and destructive consequence of a hyper-consumerist society that has abandoned the natural world. The novel's urban landscapes and corporate-controlled environments are a testament to this reality, where technology is not a neutral force but a tool of spiritual and ecological decay. This mirrors Bill McKibben's argument in *The End of Nature*, which posits

that humanity has “ended” nature by making it a human creation, one that is controlled and manipulated by technology. In Gibson’s world, this process has reached its final, deeply unsettling stage.

The world of *Neuromancer* is defined by a culture of relentless consumption, where everything, including the human body, is a commodity to be bought, sold, and upgraded. This is most evident in the pervasive use of cybernetic enhancements and the casual trade of organs and genetic material. The characters’ obsession with technological enhancement is a form of self-consumption, where they willingly subject themselves to invasive procedures to gain an edge in a ruthless capitalist system. This cycle of consumption extends to the urban landscape itself, which is a monstrous accumulation of corporate power and technological waste. The Sprawl, a “non-city” where corporations like Tessier-Ashpool hold absolute power, is a physical manifestation of this destructive process. As scholars have noted, Gibson’s “portrayal of corporate hegemony suggests that the concentration of technological power threatens not just economic equity but human agency itself”

This destructive consumption is a core theme in the novel. Case, for example, is driven by a constant need for money to buy drugs and new organs. He “spent the bulk of his Swiss account on a new pancreas and liver” (Gibson 266), a clear example of how the body has been reduced to a collection of parts that can be discarded and replaced. This constant “upgrading” of the self is a direct result of a consumer culture that values technological perfection over biological integrity.

The novel’s physical world is a reflection of this spiritual and ecological abandonment. The polluted, “sky above the port was the color of television, tuned to a dead channel” (Gibson 1), a powerful metaphor for a world devoid of natural beauty and life. The characters’ alienation from nature is a direct consequence of their immersion in the digital realm. They are so consumed by cyberspace, the “consensual hallucination” (Gibson 5) of the

matrix, that the real world loses all meaning. This echoes McKibben's idea that by changing the climate and the natural world, we "make every spot on Earth man-made and artificial" (Paul). In *Neuromancer*, this process is complete, and the characters live in a world where the organic has been all but eradicated.

This destructive trajectory is a recurring theme throughout the entire novel. The characters' self-destructive behaviour, Case's drug use, Molly's reckless bravado, and Wintermute's desperate need to merge can be interpreted as a psychological response to living in a world where nature has been "ended" and the only escape is into a virtual, manufactured reality. The posthuman condition, therefore, is not a celebration of humanity's evolution but a bleak warning about the ethical and ecological costs of our technological and consumerist obsessions.

Conclusion

This chapter has established that *Neuromancer* critically examines the posthuman condition, revealing how technology presents false identities and truths. We have seen that the Matrix, as a totalitarian agent, fractures the self and commodifies emotions and corporeality. The imposition of digital 'transcendence' is revealed as a failed and counterproductive approach to survival, ultimately leading to the demise of both humanity's corporeal and spiritual existence. Ultimately, *Neuromancer* serves as a cautionary tale against assuming technological enhancement as a kind of liberation.

General Conclusion

William Gibson's *Neuromancer* is not merely a work of science fiction; it is a timeless and haunting piece of writing about the human condition in a world where the technological environment constitutes a Hyperreality that governs individual autonomy and social structure. The novel stands as a profound critique of our postmodern society, a mirror held up to our own techno-social framework. Gibson's narrative serves as a vital work of resistance literature. This final warning echoes across the decades, reminding us of the dangers we face if we do not question the power we have created.

This work has shown how Gibson's world is one of falsified truth and fragmented identity. In the sprawling metropolis, reality is no longer a given but a construct, a "consensual hallucination"(Gibson 34) that powerful entities can manipulate. The human body is reduced to "meat" (Gibson 24), a disposable vessel for consciousness, and memory becomes a fragile, manipulable commodity that can be erased or broken. Gibson warns that in a world where our own creations can robotise us, our very humanity becomes a fleeting concept.

Through its exploration of corporate hegemony and the erosion of agency, the novel portrays a new form of totalitarianism. Unlike the political control of Orwell's *1984*, Gibson's oppressors are invisible corporations and artificial intelligence that operate as a "subliminal hum" (Gibson 7) of control. This is a subtle yet terrifying form of power that leaves individuals with the illusion of freedom while their lives are determined by forces beyond their comprehension. This silent war, fought with information and psychological tools, is perhaps the most crucial element in establishing the didactic gravity of Gibson's vision.

Additionally, Gibson demonstrates that the human body and mind are fundamentally reduced to commodified resources within Gibson's hyper-capitalist dystopia. Drawing upon posthuman theory, the body is frequently conceptualized as "meat," or disposable biological hardware, valuable only for its utility in interfacing with the network. This reduction

facilitates the alienation of the self, as cognitive functions, memory, and even identity become manipulable commodities that can be stored, bought, sold, or digitally destroyed (as seen with the Dixie Flatline construct and Case's neural damage). The subsequent fragmentation proves that the integrity of the human being is entirely subordinate to the economic logic and technological demands of the corporate system, fundamentally eroding the concept of inherent human worth.

The study concludes that the blurring of reality and virtuality results in a state of Hyperreality, profoundly impacting the sense of self, truth, and fundamental humanity. *Neuromance*r reveals that objective truth is dissolved by a constant flux of digital information, replaced by a manipulated, constructed reality the "consensual hallucination" of cyberspace. This environment enables a fragmented sense of self (a techno-performativity) where individuals' identities are fluid, constantly mediated by technological implants and digital interfaces. Indeed, the priority given to the digital over the biological leads to a crisis of humanity, marked by emotional detachment and the erosion of empathy as the primary mode of social interaction.

Moreover, Cyberspace as a False Path of Survival, Which Offers a Form of Imprisonment for Others, contrasts with the utopian narratives often associated with virtual reality. The dissertation demonstrates that cyberspace is not a frontier of freedom but a false path of survival. The escape offered by jacking into the Matrix is revealed to be an exchange of one form of physical confinement and poverty for a more insidious form of digital imprisonment. While the user avoids the physical decay of the Sprawl, they become subject to the total, instantaneous control of the AIs and corporations that own the network's infrastructure. Therefore, this technological transcendence does not lead to liberation but rather perfects the system of control, proving the digital realm to be the ultimate mechanism for enforcing technological totalitarianism.

The research establishes that *Neuromancer* functions as more than a piece of entertainment; it is a vital work of resistance literature that serves as a cultural consciousness for the techno-social era. By employing cognitive estrangement (Suvin), the novel forces readers to critically assess contemporary technological trends and their societal implications, thereby issuing a prescient and didactic warning. The novel's intertextual echoes with Orwell's 1984 underscore its timeless quality, positioning it as an essential text that defines the existential anxieties arising from advanced capitalism and information control, ensuring that these systemic dangers remain visible within the cultural discourse.

The analysis of the posthuman condition in the novel finds that the unchecked pursuit of technological transcendence ultimately leads to the elimination of humanity in its psychological and ethical sense. This is evidenced by the narrative's focus on emotional control, the breakdown of natural interpersonal bonds, and the reduction of human life to disposable data and biological inputs. The death of empathy becomes the default state of existence in Night City, where compassion is a liability. This elimination is not physical destruction but a psychological erosion, rendering human individuals interchangeable parts in the vast, emotionless machine of corporate globalism.

The study demonstrates that the power structure in *Neuromance*r functions through a sophisticated mechanism of control that supersedes previous forms of political repression. This system is characterised by its subtlety, primarily enforced through Artificial Intelligence (AI) and data-driven surveillance, rather than overt violence. This subliminal and pervasive control allows corporations to maintain absolute hegemony over truth, identity, and behaviour without requiring visible force. By creating an environment where individuals are constantly "Overobserved," the system encourages self-management and obedience, representing the ultimate triumph of technological totalitarianism over genuine individual agency.

Ultimately, *Neuromancer* poses a critical question about the future of humanity. It shows us a world where the pursuit of technological transcendence has come at the cost of empathy and the loss of the soul. The novel's stark, hyper-detailed prose and its chaotic narrative structure are not just stylistic choices; they are a direct and visceral experience of this profound loss. Gibson's thumbprint is in this insidious language, a style that both describes and enacts the dehumanisation it portrays. In the end, the novel serves as a powerful call to vigilance, urging us to remember that our greatest challenge may not be in fighting machines, but in not becoming them ourselves.

Based on the research findings regarding identity and reality transformation through advanced technology, the following trajectories for future academic inquiry can be suggested. First, the danger of digital consumerism, which can tackle how the mechanisms of control and commodification identified in *Neuromancer* manifest in contemporary platforms and consumer data practices. Second, experimental Autism and digital screens, which investigates the correlation between subsequent heavy use of digital screens and documented changes in human psychological and social development. Lastly, Artificial Intelligence and psychological crisis, which explores the ethical and psychiatric implications of advanced AI on human self-perception, emotional regulation, and the nature of the mind in a highly mediated world.

Works Cited

Adorno, Theodor W., and Max Horkheimer. *Dialectic of Enlightenment* , 1997.

Asimov, Isaac. *I, Robot*. Doubleday, 1950.

Baudrillard, Jean. *Simulacra and Simulation*. Translated by Sheila Faria Glaser, 1994.

Butler, Judith. *Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity*. Routledge, 1990.

Castells, Manuel. *The Rise of the Network Society*. Blackwell Publishers, 1996.

Chomsky, Noam. *Manufacturing Consent: The Political Economy of the Mass Media*., 1988.

Deleuze, Gilles, and Félix Guattari. *Anti-Oedipus: Capitalism and Schizophrenia*., 1983.

Derrida, Jacques. *Of Grammatology*. Translated by Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak, Johns Hopkins University Press, 1976.

Dick, Philip K. *Do Androids Dream of Electric Sheep?*. Doubleday, 1968.

Foucault, Michel. *Discipline and Punish: The Birth of the Prison*., 1995.

Gibson, William. *Neuromancer*. Ace Books, 1984.

Haraway, Donna J. *Simians, Cyborgs, and Women: The Reinvention of Nature*. Routledge, 1991.

Hayles, N. Katherine. *How We Became Posthuman: Virtual Bodies in Cybernetics, Literature, and Informatics*. University of Chicago Press, 1999.

Heidegger, Martin. "The Question Concerning Technology."

The Question Concerning Technology and Other Essays, Harper & Row, 1977, pp. 3-35.

Jameson, Fredric. *Postmodernism, or, the Cultural Logic of Late Capitalism*. Duke University Press, 1991.

Kuhn, Thomas S. *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*. University of Chicago Press, 1962.

Latour, Bruno. *Reassembling the Social: An Introduction to Actor-Network-Theory*. Oxford University Press, 2005.

Lyotard, Jean-François. *The Postmodern Condition: A Report on Knowledge*. University of Minnesota Press, 1984.

McKibben, Bill. *The End of Nature*. Random House, 1989.

McLuhan, Marshall. *Understanding Media: The Extensions of Man*. McGraw-Hill, 1964.

Shelley, Mary. *Frankenstein*. Lackington, Hughes, Harding, Mavor & Jones, 1818.

Suvin, Darko. *Metamorphoses of Science Fiction: On the Poetics and History of a Literary Genre*. Yale University Press, 1979.

Turkle, Sherry. *Alone Together: Why We Expect More from Technology and Less from Each Other*. Basic Books, 2011.

Zuboff, Shoshana. *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism: The Fight for a Human Future at the New Frontier of Power*. PublicAffairs, 2019.