

Article

Stability for Weakly Coupled Wave Equations with a General Internal Control of Diffusive Type

Abderrahmane Beniani ¹, Nouredine Bahri ², Rabab Alharbi ^{3,*} , Keltoum Bouhali ^{3,4}  and Khaled Zennir ^{3,5} 

- ¹ EDPs Analysis and Control Laboratory, Department of Mathematics, BP 284, University Ain Témouchent, Belhadj Bouchaib 46000, Algeria
² Laboratory of Mathematics and Applications, Hassiba Benbouali University, Chlef 02000, Algeria
³ Department of Mathematics, College of Sciences and Arts, Qassim University, Ar-Rass 51452, Saudi Arabia
⁴ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, 20 Aout 1955 University, Skikda 21000, Algeria
⁵ Laboratoire de Mathématiques Appliquées et de Modélisation, Université 8 Mai 1945 Guelma, Guelma 24000, Algeria
* Correspondence: ras.alharbi@qu.edu.sa

Abstract: The present paper deals with well-posedness and asymptotic stability for weakly coupled wave equations with a more general internal control of diffusive type. Owing to the semigroup theory of linear operator, the well-posedness of system is proved. Furthermore, we show a general decay rate result. The method is based on the frequency domain approach combined with multiplier technique.

Keywords: semigroup theory; wave coupled system; general decay

1. Introduction

When describing the propagation of nonlinear waves with an internal control of diffusive type, the theory of semigroup is often used. It is used in the case, which is quite important for applications, when the internal diffusive mechanism is described by integer derivatives. The large amount of currently available experimental data on the internal structure of nonlinear waves in applications requires the complication and modification of mathematical modeling methods. Here, the main attention is paid to the construction and analysis of stability for nonlinear mathematical models that reflect the influence of internal control of diffusive type.

To begin with, let Ω be a bounded open domain in \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 1$) with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $x \in \Omega$, $t \in (0, +\infty)$ and $\omega \in (-\infty, +\infty)$. We consider the following system of coupled wave equations with general internal control of diffusive type

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_{tt}u - \Delta_x u + \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\phi(x, \omega, t)d\omega + \beta v = 0, \\ \partial_{tt}v - \Delta_x v + \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\phi(x, \omega, t)d\omega + \beta u = 0, \\ u = v = 0 \\ \phi_t(x, \omega, t) + (\omega^2 + \eta)\phi(x, \omega, t) - \partial_t u \varrho(\omega) = 0, \\ \phi_t(x, \omega, t) + (\omega^2 + \eta)\phi(x, \omega, t) - \partial_t v \varrho(\omega) = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \partial_t u(x, 0) = u_1(x), \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x), \partial_t v(x, 0) = v_1(x), \\ \phi(x, \omega, 0) = \phi_0(x, \omega) \text{ and } \varphi(x, \omega, 0) = \varphi_0(x, \omega), \end{array} \right. \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad (1)$$

where $\zeta > 0$, $\eta \geq 0$ and ϱ are a general measure density, the initial data are taken in suitable spaces, and the coefficient β satisfies the condition

$$0 < |\beta| < \delta C$$



Citation: Beniani, A.; Bahri, N.; Alharbi, R.; Bouhali, K.; Zennir, K. Stability for Weakly Coupled Wave Equations with a General Internal Control of Diffusive Type. *Axioms* **2023**, *12*, 48. <https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms12010048>

Academic Editor: Valery Y. Glizer

Received: 24 November 2022

Revised: 17 December 2022

Accepted: 23 December 2022

Published: 2 January 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

where $\delta \in (0, 1)$. When $\varrho(\omega) = |\omega|^{\frac{2\alpha-1}{2}}, \zeta = \gamma\pi^{-1} \sin(\alpha\pi)$ and $\phi_0 \equiv 0$, problem (1)_{1,2} becomes

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{tt}u - \Delta_x u + \gamma \partial_t^{\alpha,\eta} u + \beta v = 0, \\ \partial_{tt}v - \Delta_x v + \gamma \partial_t^{\alpha,\eta} v + \beta u = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\partial_t^{\alpha,\eta}$ denotes the generalized Caputo’s fractional derivative of order $\alpha, 0 < \alpha < 1$ with respect to the time variable. It is defined by

$$\partial_t^{\alpha,\eta} w(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-\eta(t-s)} \frac{dw}{ds}(s) ds, \eta \geq 0.$$

In [1], Mbodje studied the energy decay of the wave equation with a boundary control of fractional derivative type, that is, for $x \in (0, L), t \in (0, +\infty)$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{tt}u(x, t) - u_{xx}(x, t) = 0, \\ u(0, t) = 0, \\ u_x(L, t) + \rho \partial_t^{\alpha,\eta} u(L, t) = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad \partial_t u(x, 0) = u_1(x). \end{cases}$$

A new approach named “diffusive representation” is used to solve the problem. The first model is transformed into a related system which can be easily treated by the energy method. If $\eta = 0$, the strong asymptotic stability of solutions is proved and, when $\eta \neq 0$, an algebraic decay rate $\mathcal{E}(t) \leq C/t$ for $t > 0$ is shown. In [2], Villagram et al. study the stabilization for the following coupled wave equations with dynamic control of fractional derivative type, for $x \in (0, 1), t \in (0, +\infty)$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{tt}u - u_{xx} + \beta v = 0, \\ \partial_{tt}v - v_{xx} + \beta u = 0, \\ u(0, t) = v(0, t) = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \partial_t u(x, 0) = u_1(x) \text{ and } v(x, 0) = v_0(x), \partial_t v(x, 0) = v_1(x), \\ u_x(1, t) = -\partial_t^{\alpha,\eta} u(1, t) \text{ and } v_x(1, t) = -\partial_t^{\alpha,\eta} v(1, t). \end{cases}$$

The authors proved that the decay of energy is not exponential, but it is polynomial. Recently, in [3], Boudaoud and Benaissa extended the result of Mbodje to a higher-space dimension and general internal control of diffusive type.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{tt}u - \Delta_x u + \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega) \phi(x, \omega, t) d\omega = 0, \\ u(x, t) = 0 \\ \phi_t(x, \omega, t) + (\omega^2 + \eta) \phi(x, \omega, t) - \partial_t u \varrho(\omega) = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad \partial_t u(x, 0) = u_1(x), \\ \phi(x, \omega, 0) = \phi_0(x, \omega), \end{cases} \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

The authors proved a very general rate depending on the form of the function ϱ . Our paper extends all the previous works, and its plan is as follows. In Section 2, we give preliminary results, and we establish the well-posedness of the system (1), owing to the Hille–Yosida Theorem. We show, in Section 3, the lack of exponential stability. In Section 4, an asymptotic stability of our model is studied, where the main results are Theorem 4 and Theorem 7. In Theorem 7, we established a general rate of decay which depends on that of the density function ϱ .

Remark 1. For this topic, we can say that there are many related problems which still are open, such as in the unbounded domain, where one can consider the same model in \mathbb{R}^n with weighted functions.

2. Preliminary Results and Well-Posedness

We state hypotheses on the even non-negative measurable function ϱ as

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\varrho(\omega)^2}{1+\omega^2} d\omega < \infty. \tag{2}$$

Now, we recall some definitions which are needed in Section 4 for the application.

Definition 1. Let $a \geq 0$, and let $M : [a, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ be a measurable function, then M has a positive increase if there exist $\alpha > 0, c \in (0, 1]$ and $s_0 \geq a$, such that

$$\frac{M(\kappa s)}{M(s)} \geq c\kappa^\alpha, \quad \kappa \geq 1, \quad s \geq s_0.$$

The next Lemma will be useful (see [1]).

Lemma 1. Let

$$D = \{\kappa \in \mathbb{C} / \Re\kappa + \eta > 0\} \cup \{\Im\kappa \neq 0\},$$

if $\kappa \in D$, then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\varrho^2(\omega)}{\kappa + \eta + \omega^2} d\omega = \frac{\pi}{\sin \alpha\pi} (\kappa + \eta)^{\alpha-1},$$

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\varrho^2(\omega)}{(\kappa + \eta + \omega^2)^2} d\omega = (1 - \alpha) \frac{\pi}{\sin \alpha\pi} (\kappa + \eta)^{\alpha-2}.$$

We are now ready to give the existence and uniqueness result for the problem (1) by using semigroup theory. The energy space is defined as

$$\mathcal{H} = [H_0^1(\Omega)]^2 \times [L^2(\Omega)]^2 \times [L^2(\Omega \times (-\infty, +\infty))]^2,$$

equipped with the following inner product

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U, \tilde{U} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= \int_{\Omega} (w\bar{w} + z\bar{z} + \nabla_x u \nabla_x \bar{u} + \nabla_x v \nabla_x \bar{v} + \beta u\bar{v} + \beta v\bar{u}) dx \\ &+ \zeta \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\phi\bar{\phi} + \varphi\bar{\varphi}) d\omega dx, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where

$$U = (u, v, w, z, \phi, \varphi)^T, \tilde{U} = (\bar{u}, \bar{v}, \bar{w}, \bar{z}, \bar{\phi}, \bar{\varphi})^T.$$

Remark 2. Note that if $0 < |\beta| < \delta C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2|\beta\Re\langle u, \bar{v} \rangle| &\leq 2|\beta| \|u\|_2 \cdot \|v\|_2 \\ &\leq 2|\beta| \cdot \frac{1}{C} \|\nabla_x u\|_2 \cdot \|\nabla_x v\|_2 \\ &\leq \delta (\|\nabla_x u\|_2 + \|\nabla_x v\|_2), \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

which guarantees the positivity of the norm.

In order to transform the problem (1) to an abstract problem on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , we introduce the vector function $U = (u, v, w, z, \phi, \varphi)^T$, where $w = \partial_t u$ and $z = \partial_t v$. Then, problem (1) can be rewritten as

$$\partial_t U = \mathcal{A}U, \quad U(0) = U_0, \tag{5}$$

where $U_0 = (u_0, v_0, u_1, v_1, \phi_0, \varphi_0)^T$, and $\mathcal{A} : D(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{A} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \\ z \\ \phi \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} w \\ z \\ \Delta_x u - \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\phi(\omega)d\omega - \beta v \\ \Delta_x v - \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\varphi(\omega)d\omega - \beta u \\ -(\omega^2 + \eta)\phi + w(x)\varrho(\omega) \\ -(\omega^2 + \eta)\varphi + z(x)\varrho(\omega) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

and its domain is given by

$$D(\mathcal{A}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (u, v, w, z, \phi, \varphi)^T \text{ in } \mathcal{H} : u, v \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega), w, z \in H_0^1(\Omega), \\ \Delta_x u(x) - \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\phi(\omega)d\omega - \beta v \in L^2(\Omega) \text{ and} \\ \Delta_x v(x) - \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\varphi(\omega)d\omega - \beta u \in L^2(\Omega) \\ -(\omega^2 + \eta)\phi + w(x)\varrho(\omega) \in L^2(\Omega \times (-\infty, +\infty)), |\omega|\phi \in L^2(\Omega \times (-\infty, +\infty)) \\ -(\omega^2 + \eta)\varphi + z(x)\varrho(\omega) \in L^2(\Omega \times (-\infty, +\infty)), |\omega|\varphi \in L^2(\Omega \times (-\infty, +\infty)) \end{array} \right\}.$$

The energy associated to the solution of the problem (1) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(t) &= \frac{1}{2} [\|\partial_t u\|_2^2 + \|\partial_t v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_x u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_x v\|_2^2] \\ &\quad + 2\beta \int_{\Omega} uv dx + \frac{\zeta}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (|\phi(x, \omega, t)|^2 + |\varphi(x, \omega, t)|^2) d\omega dx. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Differentiating \mathcal{E} in a formal way, using (1) and integrating by parts, we obtain, after a straightforward computation, the following Lemma.

Lemma 2. *Let $(u, v, w, z, \phi, \varphi)$ be a regular solution of problem (1). Then, the energy functional defined by (7) satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathcal{E}(t) &= -\zeta \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\omega^2 + \eta) (|\phi(x, \omega, t)|^2 + |\varphi(x, \omega, t)|^2) d\omega dx \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

We have the following results.

Proposition 1. *The operator \mathcal{A} is the infinitesimal generator of a contraction semigroup $\{\mathcal{S}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$*

Proof. First, we prove that the operator \mathcal{A} is dissipative. We observe that $U \in D(\mathcal{A})$ and by (5), (8) and the fact that

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2, \tag{9}$$

we obtain

$$\Re \langle \mathcal{A}U, U \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = -\zeta \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\omega^2 + \eta) |\phi(x, \omega)|^2 d\omega dx. \tag{10}$$

In fact, using (3), and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \mathcal{A}U, U \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} (\nabla_x w \nabla_x \bar{u} - \overline{\nabla_x w \nabla_x \bar{u}} + \nabla_x z \nabla_x \bar{v} - \overline{\nabla_x z \nabla_x \bar{v}}) dx \\
 &- \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\omega^2 + \eta) (|\phi|^2 + |\varphi|^2) d\omega \\
 &+ \beta \int_{\Omega} (w\bar{v} - \overline{w\bar{v}} + z\bar{u} - \overline{z\bar{u}}) dx + \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega) \left[(\bar{\phi}z - \overline{\phi}z) + (\bar{\phi}z - \overline{\phi}z) \right] d\omega \\
 &= 2iIm \int_{\Omega} \nabla_x w \nabla_x \bar{u} dx + 2iIm \int_{\Omega} \nabla_x z \nabla_x \bar{v} dx \\
 &+ 2i\beta Im \int_{\Omega} w \nabla_x \bar{v} dx + 2i\beta Im \int_{\Omega} z \nabla_x \bar{u} dx \\
 &+ \zeta 2iIm \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega) \bar{\phi}z - \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\omega^2 + \eta) (|\phi|^2 + |\varphi|^2) d\omega.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, taking the real part, then estimate (10) holds.

Next, we prove that the operator $\kappa I - \mathcal{A}$ is surjective for every $\kappa > 0$. We show that for any $F = (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5, f_6)^T \in \mathcal{H}$, there exists a (unique) solution $U = (u, v, w, z, \phi, \varphi)^T \in D(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\kappa U - \mathcal{A}U = F$.

Then, in terms of components, the above equation reads

$$\begin{cases} \kappa u - w = f_1, \\ \kappa v - z = f_2, \\ \kappa w - \Delta_x u + \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega) \phi(\omega) d\omega + \beta v = f_3, \\ \kappa z - \Delta_x v + \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega) \varphi(\omega) d\omega + \beta u = f_4, \\ \kappa \phi + (\omega^2 + \eta) \phi - w(x) \varrho(\omega) = f_5 \\ \kappa \varphi + (\omega^2 + \eta) \varphi - z(x) \varrho(\omega) = f_6. \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

Suppose (u, v) is found with the appropriate regularity. Then, from (11)₁ and (11)₂, we find that

$$\begin{cases} w = \kappa u - f_1 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \\ z = \kappa v - f_2 \in H_0^1(\Omega), \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

and by (11)_{5,6}, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \phi = \frac{f_5(x, \omega)}{\omega^2 + \eta + \kappa} + \frac{\kappa \varrho(\omega) u(x)}{\omega^2 + \eta + \kappa} - \frac{\varrho(\omega) f_1(x)}{\omega^2 + \eta + \kappa} \\ \varphi = \frac{f_6(x, \omega)}{\omega^2 + \eta + \kappa} + \frac{\kappa \varrho(\omega) v(x)}{\omega^2 + \eta + \kappa} - \frac{\varrho(\omega) f_2(x)}{\omega^2 + \eta + \kappa}. \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

On the other hand, replacing (12)_{1,2} into (11)_{3,4}, respectively, yields

$$\begin{cases} \kappa^2 u - \Delta_x u + \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega) \phi(\omega) d\omega + \beta v = f_3 + \kappa f_1 \\ \kappa^2 v - \Delta_x v + \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega) \varphi(\omega) d\omega + \beta u = f_4 + \kappa f_2. \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

Solving system (14) is equivalent to finding $u, v \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\Omega} (\kappa^2 u \bar{u} + \nabla_x u \nabla_x \bar{u}) dx + \kappa \zeta \int_{\Omega} u \bar{u} dx + \beta \int_{\Omega} v \bar{v} \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} (f_2 + \kappa f_1) \bar{u} dx - \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\varrho(\omega)}{\omega^2 + \eta + \kappa} \int_{\Omega} f_5(x, \omega) \bar{u} dx d\omega + \zeta \int_{\Omega} f_1 \bar{u} dx, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (\kappa^2 v \bar{v} + \nabla_x v \nabla_x \bar{v}) dx + \kappa \tilde{\zeta} \int_{\Omega} v \bar{v} dx + \beta \int_{\Omega} u \bar{v} dx \\ & = \int_{\Omega} (f_4 + \kappa f_2) \bar{v} dx - \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\varrho(\omega)}{\omega^2 + \eta + \kappa} \int_{\Omega} f_6(x, \omega) \bar{v} dx d\omega + \tilde{\zeta} \int_{\Omega} f_2 \bar{v} dx, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

for all $\bar{u}, \bar{v} \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $\tilde{\zeta} = \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\varrho^2(\omega)}{\omega^2 + \eta + \kappa} d\omega$.

The system (15) and (16) is equivalent to the problem

$$\mathcal{B}((u, v), (\bar{u}, \bar{v})) = \mathcal{L}(\bar{u}, \bar{v}), \tag{17}$$

where the sesquilinear form

$$\mathcal{B} : [H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)]^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

and the antilinear form

$$\mathcal{L} : [H_0^1(\Omega)]^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

are defined by

$$\mathcal{B}((u, v), (\bar{u}, \bar{v})) = \int_{\Omega} (\kappa^2 u \bar{u} + \kappa^2 v \bar{v} + \nabla_x u \nabla_x \bar{u} + \nabla_x v \nabla_x \bar{v}) dx + \kappa \tilde{\zeta} \int_{\Omega} (u \bar{u} + v \bar{v}) dx,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) & = \int_{\Omega} (f_2 + \kappa f_1) \bar{u} dx + \int_{\Omega} (f_4 + \kappa f_2) \bar{v} dx + \tilde{\zeta} \int_{\Omega} f_1 \bar{u} dx \\ & - \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\varrho(\omega)}{\omega^2 + \eta + \kappa} \int_{\Omega} (f_5(x, \omega) \bar{u} + f_6(x, \omega) \bar{v}) dx d\omega. \end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to verify that \mathcal{B} is continuous and coercive, and \mathcal{L} is continuous. By Lax–Milgram’s Theorem, we deduce for all $\bar{u}, \bar{v} \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, the problem (17) admits a unique solution $u, v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Using classical elliptic regularity, it follows from (15) and (16) that $u, v \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$. In order to complete the existence of $U \in D(\mathcal{A})$, we need to prove $\phi, \varphi, |\omega|\phi$ and $|\omega|\varphi \in L^2(\Omega \times (-\infty, \infty))$. From (13)₁, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi(\omega)|^2 d\omega dx \leq 3 \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|f_5(x, \omega)|^2}{(\omega^2 + \eta + \kappa)^2} d\omega dx + 3(\kappa^2 \|u\|_2^2 + \|f_1\|_2^2) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\varrho^2(\omega)}{(\omega^2 + \eta + \kappa)^2} d\omega.$$

Using (2), it easy to see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\varrho^2(\omega)}{(\omega^2 + \eta + \kappa)^2} d\omega \leq \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\varrho^2(\omega)}{(\omega^2 + \eta + \kappa)} d\omega < +\infty.$$

On the other hand, using the fact that $f_5 \in L^2(\Omega \times (-\infty, \infty))$, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|f_5(x, \omega)|^2}{(\omega^2 + \eta + \kappa)^2} d\omega dx \leq \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_5(x, \omega)|^2 d\omega dx < +\infty.$$

It follows that $\phi \in L^2(\Omega \times (-\infty, \infty))$. Next, using (13)₁, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\omega\phi(\omega)|^2 d\omega dx \leq 3 \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\omega|^2 |f_3(x, \omega)|^2}{(\omega^2 + \eta + \kappa)^2} d\omega dx + 3(\kappa^2 \|u\|^2 + \|f_1\|^2) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\omega|^2 \varrho^2(\omega)}{(\omega^2 + \eta + \kappa)^2} d\omega.$$

Using (2) again, it easy to see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\omega|^2 \varrho^2(\omega)}{(\omega^2 + \eta + \kappa)^2} d\omega \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\varrho^2(\omega)}{(\omega^2 + \eta + \kappa)} d\omega < +\infty.$$

Now, using the fact that $f_5 \in L^2(\Omega \times (-\infty, \infty))$, we find

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\omega|^2 |f_5(\omega)|^2}{(\omega^2 + \eta + \kappa)^2} d\omega dx \leq \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_5(x, \omega)|^2 d\omega dx < +\infty.$$

It follows that $|\omega|\phi \in L^2(\Omega \times (-\infty, \infty))$ and $\phi \in L^2(\Omega \times (-\infty, \infty))$. Finally, it is clear that

$$-(\omega^2 + \eta)\phi(x, \omega) + w(x)\varrho(\omega) = \kappa\phi(x, \omega) - f_5(x, \omega) \in L^2(\Omega \times (-\infty, \infty)).$$

Using the same arguments, we can prove $\varphi, |\omega|\varphi \in L^2(\Omega \times (-\infty, \infty))$. Then, $U \in D(\mathcal{A})$. Therefore, the operator $\kappa I - \mathcal{A}$ is surjective for any $\kappa > 0$. \square

Consequently, using the Lumer–Philips Theorem [4], we have the following result.

Theorem 1 (Existence and uniqueness). *If $U_0 \in \mathcal{H}$, then system (5) has a unique weak solution*

$$U \in C^0(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{H}).$$

Moreover, if $U_0 \in D(\mathcal{A})$, then system (5) has a unique strong solution

$$U \in C^0(\mathbb{R}_+, D(\mathcal{A})) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{H}).$$

3. Lack of Exponential Stability

Theorem 2 ([5]). *Let $S(t) = e^{\mathcal{A}t}$ be a C_0 -semigroup of contractions on Hilbert space X . Then, $S(t)$ is exponentially stable if, and only if,*

$$\rho(\mathcal{A}) \supseteq \{i\beta : \beta \in \mathbb{R}\} \equiv i\mathbb{R}, \tag{18}$$

and

$$\overline{\lim}_{|\beta| \rightarrow \infty} \|(i\beta I - \mathcal{A})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} < \infty. \tag{19}$$

Our main result in this part is the following Theorem.

Theorem 3. *The semigroup generated by the operator \mathcal{A} cannot be exponentially stable.*

Proof. Let $-\delta_n^2 = (i\delta_n)^2$ be a sequence of eigenvalues corresponding to the sequence of normalized eigenfunctions u_n of the operator Δ_x , such that $|\delta_n| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_x u_n = -\delta_n^2 u_n & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_n = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

Our aim is to prove, under some conditions, that if $i\delta_n$ satisfies (18), then (19) does not hold. In other words, we want to prove that there exist an infinite number of eigenvalues of \mathcal{A} approaching the imaginary axis, which prevents the wave system (1) from being exponentially stable. Indeed, we first compute the characteristic equation that gives the eigenvalues of \mathcal{A} . Let κ be an eigenvalue of \mathcal{A} with associated eigenvector $U = (u, v, w, z, \phi, \varphi)^T$. Then, $\mathcal{A}U = \kappa U$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \kappa u - w = 0, \\ \kappa v - z = 0, \\ \kappa w - \Delta_x u + \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\phi(\omega)d\omega + \beta v = 0, \\ \kappa z - \Delta_x v + \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\varphi(\omega)d\omega + \beta u = 0, \\ \kappa\phi + (\omega^2 + \eta)\phi - w(x)\varrho(\omega) = 0 \\ \kappa\varphi + (\omega^2 + \eta)\varphi - z(x)\varrho(\omega) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{21}$$

We note that assuming the decomposition given by $\Phi := u + v, \Theta := w + z$ and $\Lambda := \phi + \varphi$, we have

$$\begin{cases} \kappa\Phi - \Theta = 0, \\ \kappa\Theta - \Delta_x\Phi + \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\Lambda d\omega + \beta\Phi = 0, \\ \kappa\Lambda + (\omega^2 + \eta)\Lambda - \Theta\varrho(\omega) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{22}$$

The problem (22) can be rewritten as

$$V_t = \mathcal{A}_1 V, \quad V(0) = V_0, \tag{23}$$

where $V_0 = (\Phi_0, \Phi_1, \Lambda_0)^T$, and $\mathcal{A}_1 : D(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{A}_1(\Phi, \Theta, \Lambda) = \left(\Theta, \Delta_x\Phi - \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\Lambda(\omega)d\omega, -(\omega^2 + \eta)\Lambda + \Theta(x)\varrho(\omega) \right). \tag{24}$$

Taking $\Psi := u - v, Y := w - z$ and $\Xi := \phi - \varphi$, we have

$$\begin{cases} \kappa\Psi - Y = 0, \\ \kappa Y - \Delta_x\Psi + \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\Xi d\omega - \beta\Psi = 0, \\ \kappa\Xi + (\omega^2 + \eta)\Xi - Y\varrho(\omega) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{25}$$

Moreover, note that

$$u := \frac{1}{2}(\Phi + \Psi), \quad v := \frac{1}{2}(\Phi - \Psi), \quad w := \frac{1}{2}(\Theta + Y), \quad z := \frac{1}{2}(\Theta - Y), \quad \varphi := \frac{1}{2}(\Lambda + \Xi),$$

and $\phi := \frac{1}{2}(\Lambda - \Xi)$. We define the Hilbert space

$$\mathbf{H} = H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega \times (-\infty, +\infty)),$$

equipped with the following inner product

$$\begin{cases} \langle V_1, V_2 \rangle_{\mathbf{H}} &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\Theta_1\overline{\Theta_2} + \nabla_x\Phi_1\nabla_x\overline{\Phi_2} + \beta\Phi_1\overline{\Phi_2} \right) dx + \zeta \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Lambda_1\overline{\Lambda_2} d\omega dx \\ \langle W_1, W_2 \rangle_{\mathbf{H}} &= \int_{\Omega} \left(Y_1\overline{Y_2} + \nabla_x\Psi_1\nabla_x\overline{\Psi_2} - \beta\Psi_1\overline{\Psi_2} \right) dx + \zeta \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Xi_1\overline{\Xi_2} d\omega dx, \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

where $V_1 = (\Phi_1, \Theta_1, \Lambda_1), V_2 = (\Phi_2, \Theta_2, \Lambda_2), W_1 = (\Psi_1, Y_1, \Xi_1)$, and $W_2 = (\Psi_2, Y_2, \Xi_2)$. Note that inner product $\langle U_1, U_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ given in (3) satisfies equality

$$\langle U_1, U_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\langle V_1, V_2 \rangle_{\mathbf{H}} + \langle W_1, W_2 \rangle_{\mathbf{H}} \right).$$

Now, we need to solve problems (22)–(25). From (22)₁, we have

$$\Theta = \kappa\Phi. \tag{27}$$

Inserting (27) in (22)₂, we obtain

$$\kappa^2\Phi - \Delta_x\Phi + \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\Lambda(\omega)d\omega + \beta\Phi = 0. \tag{28}$$

Then, from (27), (22)₃, and (28), we obtain

$$\kappa^2\Phi - \Delta_x\Phi + \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \kappa\Phi(x) \frac{\varrho^2(\omega)}{\omega^2 + \eta + \kappa} d\omega + \beta\Phi = 0, \tag{29}$$

it follows that

$$\Delta_x\Phi = \left(\kappa^2 + \beta + \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \kappa \frac{\varrho^2(\omega)}{\omega^2 + \eta + \kappa} d\omega \right) \Phi. \tag{30}$$

From (20) and (30), we obtain the existence of a sequence of eigenvalues κ_n of \mathcal{A} corresponding to the sequence δ_n , such that

$$-\delta_n^2 \Phi_n = \Delta_x \Phi_n = \left(\kappa_n^2 + \beta + \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \kappa_n \frac{\varrho^2(\omega)}{\omega^2 + \eta + \kappa_n} d\omega \right) \Phi_n,$$

then, we obtain

$$\delta_n^2 = -\kappa_n^2 - \beta - \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \kappa_n \frac{\varrho^2(\omega)}{\omega^2 + \eta + \kappa_n} d\omega.$$

By taking $\Lambda_n = \frac{\varrho(\omega)}{\omega^2 + \eta + i\delta_n} \Phi_n$ and the vector $V_n = \left(\frac{\Phi_n}{i\delta_n}, \Phi_n, \Lambda_n \right)^T$, we have $V_n \in D(\mathcal{A}_1)$. Then, a direct computation gives

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\Phi_n}{i\delta_n} \\ \Phi_n \\ \Lambda_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_n \\ i\delta_n \Phi_n + \beta \Phi_n - \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega) \Lambda_n(\omega) d\omega \\ i\delta_n \Lambda_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that

$$(i\delta_n I - \mathcal{A}_1) V_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\beta \Phi_n + \zeta \Phi_n \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\varrho^2(\omega)}{\omega^2 + \eta + i\delta_n} d\omega \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proving

$$\left\| (i\delta_n I - \mathcal{A}_1)^{-1} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad |\delta_n| \rightarrow \infty \quad \left(\text{i.e., as } n \rightarrow \infty \right),$$

reduces to show that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\left\| -\beta \Phi_n + \zeta \Phi_n \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\varrho^2(\omega)}{\omega^2 + \eta + i\delta_n} d\omega \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \left\| \zeta \Phi_n \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\varrho^2(\omega)}{\omega^2 + \eta + i\delta_n} d\omega \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Indeed, using the fact that

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\varrho^2(\omega)}{\omega^2 + \eta + i\beta_n} d\omega \right| \leq \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

(see Lemma 4.3 in [6]) and the fact that Φ_n is a normalized eigenfunction of the operator Δ_x for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain the desired limit. Therefore, taking $U = (u, v, w, z, \phi, \varphi) \in D(\mathcal{A})$, we conclude that

$$\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\|V\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 + \|W\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 \right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|V\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 \rightarrow +\infty.$$

This completes the proof. \square

4. Stability

4.1. Strong Stability of the System

Here, we use the general Theorem of Arendt–Batty in [7] to show the strong stability of the C_0 -semigroup $e^{t\mathcal{A}}$ associated to the system (1). Our main result is stated in the following

Theorem 4. *The C_0 -semigroup $e^{t\mathcal{A}}$ is strongly stable in \mathcal{H} ; i.e, for all $U_0 \in \mathcal{H}$, the solution of (5) satisfies*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|e^{t\mathcal{A}} U_0\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0.$$

In order to prove Theorem 4, we need the following two Lemmas.

Lemma 3. *A does not have eigenvalues in $i\mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Step 1: By contraction, we suppose that there exists $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}, \kappa \neq 0$ and $U \neq 0$, such that

$$AU = i\kappa U. \tag{31}$$

Then, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} i\kappa u - w = 0, \\ i\kappa v - z = 0, \\ i\kappa w - \Delta_x u + \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\phi(\omega)d\omega + \beta v = 0, \\ i\kappa z - \Delta_x v + \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\phi(\omega)d\omega + \beta u = 0, \\ i\kappa\phi + (\omega^2 + \eta)\phi - w(x)\varrho(\omega) = 0 \\ i\kappa\phi + (\omega^2 + \eta)\phi - z(x)\varrho(\omega) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{32}$$

Now, using (31) and (10), we deduce that

$$\phi = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \times (-\infty, +\infty). \tag{33}$$

From (32)₅ and (32)₁, we have

$$w = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega. \tag{34}$$

It follows from (32)₆ and (32)₂ that we obtain

$$v = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad z = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega. \tag{35}$$

Therefore, $U = (u, v, w, z, \phi, \varphi)^T = 0$.

Step 2: $\kappa = 0$. The system (32) becomes

$$\begin{cases} w = 0, \\ z = 0, \\ \Delta_x u - \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\phi(\omega)d\omega - \beta v = 0, \\ \Delta_x v - \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\phi(\omega)d\omega - \beta u = 0, \\ (\omega^2 + \eta)\phi - w(x)\varrho(\omega) = 0 \\ (\omega^2 + \eta)\phi - z(x)\varrho(\omega) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{36}$$

Hence, From (36)_{1,2} and (36)_{5,6}, we obtain

$$w = 0, z = 0, \phi = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega. \tag{37}$$

Multiplying (36)₃ by \bar{u} , (36)₄ by \bar{v} , and using integration by parts over Ω , we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_x u|^2 dx - \beta \int_{\Omega} v \bar{u} dx = 0, \\ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_x v|^2 dx - \beta \int_{\Omega} u \bar{v} dx = 0. \end{cases} \tag{38}$$

Adding (38)₁ and (38)₂, and using (5.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla_x u|^2 + |\nabla_x v|^2) dx &\leq 2\beta \left| \int_{\Omega} v \cdot u dx \right|, \\ &\leq \delta \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla_x u|^2 + |\nabla_x v|^2) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Consequently,

$$(1 - \delta) \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla_x u|^2 + |\nabla_x v|^2) dx \leq 0. \tag{40}$$

Hence, u, v are constant in the whole domain Ω , and $u = v = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, then we have $u = 0$, and $v = 0$ in the whole domain Ω . Therefore, $U = (u, v, w, z, \phi, \varphi)^T = 0$. We deduce that, consequently, \mathcal{A} has no eigenvalue on the imaginary axis. \square

Lemma 4. *We have*

$$i\mathbb{R} \subset \rho(\mathcal{A}) \text{ if } \eta \neq 0, \quad i\mathbb{R}^* \subset \rho(\mathcal{A}) \text{ if } \eta = 0.$$

Proof. We should prove that the operator $i\kappa I - \mathcal{A}$ is surjective for $\kappa \neq 0$. To this end, let $F = (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5, f_6)^T \in \mathcal{H}$; we seek the $U = (u, v, w, z, \phi, \varphi)^T \in D(\mathcal{A})$ solution of $(i\kappa I - \mathcal{A})U = F$.

Equivalently, we have

$$\begin{cases} i\kappa u - w = f_1, \\ i\kappa v - z = f_2, \\ i\kappa w - \Delta_x u + \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\phi(\omega)d\omega + \beta v = f_3, \\ i\kappa z - \Delta_x v + \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\varphi(\omega)d\omega + \beta u = f_4, \\ i\kappa\phi + (\omega^2 + \eta)\phi - w(x)\varrho(\omega) = f_5 \\ i\kappa\varphi + (\omega^2 + \eta)\varphi - z(x)\varrho(\omega) = f_6. \end{cases} \tag{41}$$

Inserting (41)_{1,2} in (41)_{3,4}, respectively, we have

$$\begin{cases} -\kappa^2 u - \Delta_x u + \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\phi(\omega)d\omega + \beta v = f_3 + i\kappa f_1, \\ -\kappa^2 v - \Delta_x v + \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\varphi(\omega)d\omega + \beta u = f_4 + i\kappa f_2. \end{cases} \tag{42}$$

Solving system (42) is equivalent to finding $u, v \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (-\kappa^2 u\bar{u} + \nabla_x u \nabla_x \bar{u}) dx + i\kappa \zeta \int_{\Omega} u\bar{u} dx + \beta \int_{\Omega} v\bar{u} dx \\ & = \int_{\Omega} (f_3 + i\kappa f_1)\bar{u} dx - \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\varrho(\omega)}{\omega^2 + \eta + i\kappa} \int_{\Omega} f_5(x, \omega)\bar{u} dx d\omega + \zeta \int_{\Omega} f_1\bar{u} dx. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (-\kappa^2 v\bar{v} + \nabla_x v \nabla_x \bar{v}) dx + i\kappa \zeta \int_{\Omega} v\bar{v} dx + \beta \int_{\Omega} u\bar{v} dx \\ & = \int_{\Omega} (f_4 + i\kappa f_2)\bar{v} dx - \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\varrho(\omega)}{\omega^2 + \eta + i\kappa} \int_{\Omega} f_6(x, \omega)\bar{v} dx d\omega + \zeta \int_{\Omega} f_2\bar{v} dx. \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

for all $\bar{u}, \bar{v} \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

The system (43) and (44) is equivalent to the problem

$$- \langle L_{\kappa}(u, v), (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \rangle + a((u, v), (\bar{u}, \bar{v})) = \mathcal{L}(\bar{u}, \bar{v}), \tag{45}$$

where

$$a((u, v), (\bar{u}, \bar{v})) = \int_{\Omega} (\nabla_x u \nabla_x \bar{u} + \nabla_x v \nabla_x \bar{v}) dx + i\kappa \zeta \int_{\Omega} (u\bar{u} + v\bar{v}) dx + \beta \int_{\Omega} (u\bar{v} + v\bar{u}) dx,$$

and

$$\langle L_{\kappa}(u, v), (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \rangle_{[H_0^1(\Omega)]^2} = \int_{\Omega} \kappa^2 (u\bar{u} + v\bar{v}) dx.$$

Owing to the compactness of embedding $L^2(\Omega)$ into $H^{-1}(\Omega)$, and from $H_0^1(\Omega)$ into $L^2(\Omega)$, it follows that the operator L_{κ} is compact from $L^2(\Omega)$ into $L^2(\Omega)$. This way, by the Fredholm alternative, proving the existence of a solution (u, v) of (45) reduces to show that 1 is not an eigenvalue of L_{κ} for $\mathcal{L} \equiv 0$. Indeed, if there exists $u \neq 0$ and $v \neq 0$, such that

$$\langle L_{\kappa}(u, v), (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \rangle_{[H_0^1(\Omega)]^2} = a_{[H_0^1(\Omega)]^2}((u, v), (\bar{u}, \bar{v})) \quad \forall \bar{u}, \bar{v} \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

then $i\kappa$ is an eigenvalue of \mathcal{A} . Therefore, from Lemma 3, we deduce that $u = 0$.

Now, if $\kappa = 0$ and $\eta \neq 0$, by using the Lax–Milgram Lemma, we obtain the result. \square

Proof. (Of Theorem 4.) Following a general Theorem of Arendt–Batty in [7], the C_0 -semigroup of contractions can be taken as strongly stable if \mathcal{A} does not have eigenvalues on $i\mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \cap i\mathbb{R}$ is at most a countable set. Owing to the Lemmas 3 and 4, we find the result. \square

4.2. General Decay

Theorem 5 ([8]). Let \mathcal{A} be the generator of a bounded C_0 -semigroup $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on X . Let X be a Banach space, if

$$i\mathbb{R} \subset \rho(\mathcal{A}) \text{ and } \|(i\beta I - \mathcal{A})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq M(|\beta|),$$

where

$$M : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow (0, \infty)$$

is a continuous nondecreasing function, then

$$\|e^{At}U_0\| \leq \frac{C}{M_{\log}^{-1}(ct)} \|U_0\|_{D(\mathcal{A})}, C, c > 0,$$

where

$$M_{\log} : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow (0, \infty),$$

is defined by

$$M_{\log}(s) = M(s)(\log(1 + M(s)) + \log(1 + s)), s \geq 0.$$

We have the next important Theorem.

Theorem 6 ([9]). Let \mathcal{A} be the generator of a bounded C_0 -semigroup $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on X . If

$$i\mathbb{R} \subset \rho(\mathcal{A}) \text{ and } \|(i\beta I - \mathcal{A})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq M(|\beta|),$$

where X is a Hilbert space and

$$M : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow (0, \infty)$$

is a continuous nondecreasing function of positive increase, then

$$\|e^{At}U_0\| \leq C \frac{1}{M^{-1}(t)} \|U_0\|_{D(\mathcal{A})}, t \rightarrow \infty,$$

for a positive constant $C > 0$.

Theorem 7. Let

$$\mathcal{M}(\kappa) = c\mathcal{S}^{-2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\omega}{(|\kappa| + \omega^2 + \eta)^2} \right)$$

for a suitable positive constant c , and where $\mathcal{S} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\omega| \varrho(\omega)}{(|\kappa| + \omega^2 + \eta)^2} d\omega$. Then, $S_{\mathcal{A}}(t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfy

(1) If \mathcal{M} is a nondecreasing function of positive increase, then

$$\|e^{At}U_0\| \leq C \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}^{-1}(t)} \|U_0\|_{D(\mathcal{A})}, t \rightarrow \infty,$$

where \mathcal{M}^{-1} is any asymptotic inverse of \mathcal{M} .

(2) Let l be a nondecreasing slowly varying function, if

$$\mathcal{M}(\kappa) \sim cl(|\kappa|), |\kappa| \rightarrow \infty,$$

then

$$\|e^{At}U_0\| \leq \frac{1}{l_{\log}^{-1}(ct)} \|U_0\|_{D(\mathcal{A})},$$

where

$$l_{\log}(s) = l(s)(\log(1 + l(s)) + \log(1 + s)), \quad 0 \geq s.$$

Proof. We need to study the resolvent equation

$$(i\kappa I - \mathcal{A})U = F,$$

for $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, namely

$$\begin{cases} i\kappa u - w = f_1, \\ i\kappa v - z = f_2, \\ i\kappa w - \Delta_x u + \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\phi(\omega)d\omega + \beta v = f_3, \\ i\kappa z - \Delta_x v + \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\varphi(\omega)d\omega + \beta u = f_4, \\ i\kappa\phi + (\omega^2 + \eta)\phi - w(x)\varrho(\omega) = f_5 \\ i\kappa\varphi + (\omega^2 + \eta)\varphi - z(x)\varrho(\omega) = f_6. \end{cases} \tag{46}$$

where

$$F = (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5, f_6)^T.$$

Taking the inner product in \mathcal{H} with

$$U = (u, v, w, z, \phi, \varphi)^T,$$

and using (10), we obtain

$$|Re\langle \mathcal{A}U, U \rangle| \leq \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{47}$$

This implies that

$$\zeta \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\omega^2 + \eta)(|\phi(x, \omega)|^2 + |\varphi(x, \omega)|^2) d\omega dx \leq \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{48}$$

From (46)₅, we obtain

$$w(x)\varrho(\omega) = (i\kappa + \omega^2 + \eta)\phi(x, \omega) - f_5(x, \omega), \quad \forall (x, \omega) \in \Omega \times (-\infty, +\infty). \tag{49}$$

By multiplying (49) by $(i\kappa + \omega^2 + \eta)^{-2}|\omega|$, we obtain

$$(i\kappa + \omega^2 + \eta)^{-2}w(x)|\omega|\varrho(\omega) = (i\kappa + \omega^2 + \eta)^{-1}|\omega|\phi - (i\kappa + \omega^2 + \eta)^{-2}|\omega|f_5(x, \omega), \quad x \in \Omega. \tag{50}$$

Hence, by taking the absolute values of both sides of (50) and applying triangle inequality, we obtain

$$|(i\kappa + \omega^2 + \eta)^{-2}|w(x)||\omega|\varrho(\omega) \leq |(i\kappa + \omega^2 + \eta)^{-1}|\omega||\phi| + |(i\kappa + \omega^2 + \eta)^{-2}|\omega||f_5(x, \omega)|.$$

By integration over $(-\infty, +\infty)$, we obtain

$$|w(x)| \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\omega|\varrho(\omega)}{(i\kappa + \omega^2 + \eta)^2} d\omega \right| \leq \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\omega|\phi}{i\kappa + \omega^2 + \eta} d\omega \right| + \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\omega|f_5(x, \omega)}{(i\kappa + \omega^2 + \eta)^2} d\omega \right|. \tag{51}$$

On the other hand, by applying Cauchy–Schwartz inequality, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\omega|\phi}{i\kappa + \omega^2 + \eta} d\omega \right| &\leq \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\omega|^2 \phi^2 d\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| \frac{1}{(i\kappa + \omega^2 + \eta)^2} \right| d\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (|\omega|^2 + \eta)\phi^2 d\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\omega}{|i\kappa + \omega^2 + \eta|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

and

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\omega|f_5(x, \omega)}{(i\kappa + \omega^2 + \eta)^2} d\omega \right| \leq \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f_5(x, \omega)|^2 d\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\omega|^2}{|i\kappa + \omega^2 + \eta|^4} d\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{53}$$

By substituting (52) and (53) into (51), taking the square of inequality (51) and using the inequality $2AB \leq A^2 + B^2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| w(x) \right|^2 \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\omega| \varrho(\omega)}{(i\kappa + \omega^2 + \eta)^2} d\omega \right|^2 \\ & \leq 2 \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (|\omega|^2 + \eta) |\phi|^2 d\omega \right) \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\omega}{|i\kappa + \omega^2 + \eta|^2} \right) \\ & + 2 \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f_5(x, \omega)|^2 d\omega \right) \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\omega|^2}{|i\kappa + \omega^2 + \eta|^4} d\omega \right). \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Integrating (54) over Ω , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\Omega} |w(x)|^2 dx \right) \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\omega| \varrho(\omega)}{(i\kappa + \omega^2 + \eta)^2} d\omega \right|^2 \\ & \leq 2 \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (|\omega|^2 + \eta) |\phi|^2 d\omega dx \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\omega}{|i\kappa + \omega^2 + \eta|^2} \right) \\ & + 2 \left(\int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f_5(x, \omega)|^2 d\omega dx \right) \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\omega|^2}{|i\kappa + \omega^2 + \eta|^4} d\omega \right). \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Now, from Proposition 2.4 in [2],

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (i\kappa + \eta + \omega^2)^{-2} |\omega| \varrho(\omega) d\omega \right| \\ & \geq \frac{\sqrt{1 + \cos \theta}}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (|\kappa + \eta| + \omega^2)^{-2} |\omega| \varrho(\omega) d\omega \\ & \geq \frac{\sqrt{1 + \cos \theta}}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (|\kappa| + \omega^2 + \eta)^{-2} |\omega| \varrho(\omega) d\omega, \end{aligned}$$

where $\cos \theta = \eta / \sqrt{\kappa^2 + \eta^2}$. We obtain

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\omega| \varrho(\omega)}{(i\kappa + \omega^2 + \eta)^2} d\omega \right| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\omega| \varrho(\omega)}{(|\kappa| + \omega^2 + \eta)^2} d\omega. \tag{56}$$

Denoting $\mathcal{S} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\omega| \varrho(\omega)}{(|\kappa| + \omega^2 + \eta)^2} d\omega$, and by using (55), (56) and (48), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}^2 \|w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 & \leq 4 \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\omega}{|i\kappa + \omega^2 + \eta|^2} \right) \|U\| \|F\| \\ & + 4 \|f_5\|_{L^2(\Omega \times (-\infty, +\infty))}^2 \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\omega|^2}{|i\kappa + \omega^2 + \eta|^4} d\omega \right) \\ & \leq 8 \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\omega}{(|\kappa| + \omega^2 + \eta)^2} \right) \|U\| \|F\| \\ & + 16 \|f_5\|_{L^2(\Omega \times (-\infty, +\infty))}^2 \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\omega|^2}{(|\kappa| + \omega^2 + \eta)^4} d\omega \right). \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

Using the same argument, we can prove

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}^2 \|z\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 & \leq 8 \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\omega}{(|\kappa| + \omega^2 + \eta)^2} \right) \|U\| \|F\| \\ & + 16 \|f_6\|_{L^2(\Omega \times (-\infty, +\infty))}^2 \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\omega|^2}{(|\kappa| + \omega^2 + \eta)^4} d\omega \right). \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

We now state the following

$$\mathcal{E}_u = \int_{\Omega} (|w(x)|^2 + |z(x)|^2 + |\nabla_x u(x)|^2 + |\nabla_x v(x)|^2) dx.$$

Multiplying (46)₃ by \bar{u} and (46)₄ by \bar{v} leads to

$$\int_{\Omega} ikw\bar{u} dx - \int_{\Omega} \Delta_x u\bar{u} dx + \int_{\Omega} \zeta \bar{u} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\phi(x, \omega)d\omega dx + \beta \int_{\Omega} v\bar{u} dx = \int_{\Omega} f_3\bar{u} dx,$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} (ikv\bar{v} - \Delta_x v\bar{v})dx + \int_{\Omega} \zeta \bar{v} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\phi(x, \omega)d\omega dx + \beta \int_{\Omega} u\bar{v} dx = \int_{\Omega} f_4\bar{v} dx.$$

Then,

$$\begin{cases} - \int_{\Omega} w(\overline{iku}) dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_x u|^2 dx + \zeta \int_{\Omega} \bar{u} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\phi(x, \omega)d\omega dx + \beta \int_{\Omega} v\bar{u} dx = \int_{\Omega} f_2\bar{u} dx \\ - \int_{\Omega} z(\overline{ikv}) dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_x v|^2 dx + \zeta \int_{\Omega} \bar{v} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\phi(x, \omega)d\omega dx + \beta \int_{\Omega} u\bar{v} dx = \int_{\Omega} f_4\bar{v} dx. \end{cases} \tag{59}$$

Replacing (46)₁ into (59)₁ and (46)₂ into (59)₂, we have

$$\begin{cases} - \int_{\Omega} w(\bar{w} + \bar{f}_1) dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_x u|^2 dx + \zeta \int_{\Omega} \bar{u} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\phi(x, \omega)d\omega dx + \beta \int_{\Omega} v\bar{u} dx = \int_{\Omega} f_3\bar{u} dx \\ - \int_{\Omega} z(\bar{z} + \bar{f}_2) dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_x v|^2 dx + \zeta \int_{\Omega} \bar{v} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\phi(x, \omega)d\omega dx + \beta \int_{\Omega} u\bar{v} dx = \int_{\Omega} f_4\bar{v} dx. \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\Omega} (|w(x)|^2 + |z(x)|^2) dx + \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla_x u|^2 + |\nabla_x v|^2) dx + \beta \int_{\Omega} (u\bar{v} + v\bar{u}) dx \\ & + \zeta \int_{\Omega} \bar{u} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\phi(x, \omega)d\omega dx + \zeta \int_{\Omega} \bar{v} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\phi(x, \omega)d\omega dx = \int_{\Omega} (f_3\bar{u} + w\bar{f}_1 + f_4\bar{v} + \bar{f}_2z) dx. \end{aligned}$$

It can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (|w(x)|^2 + |z(x)|^2) dx + \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla_x u|^2 + |\nabla_x v|^2) dx \\ & = -\zeta \int_{\Omega} \bar{u} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\phi(x, \omega)d\omega dx - \zeta \int_{\Omega} \bar{v} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\phi(x, \omega)d\omega dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} (f_3\bar{u} + w\bar{f}_1 + f_4\bar{v} + \bar{f}_2z) dx - \beta \int_{\Omega} (u\bar{v} + v\bar{u}) dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} (|w(x)|^2 + |z(x)|^2) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_u & \leq \zeta \|u\|_2 \left(\int_{\Omega} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\phi(x, \omega)d\omega \right|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \zeta \|v\|_2 \left(\int_{\Omega} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\phi(x, \omega)d\omega \right|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & + \|f_3\|_2 \|u\|_2 + \|w\|_2 \|f_1\|_2 + \|f_4\|_2 \|v\|_2 + \|f_2\|_2 \|z\|_2 + 2\|w\|_2^2 + 2\|z\|_2^2 \\ & + \delta(\|\nabla_x u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_x v\|_2^2), \end{aligned}$$

and using

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\phi(x, \omega)d\omega \right|^2 \leq \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\varrho^2(\omega)}{\omega^2 + \eta} d\omega \right) \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\omega^2 + \eta)|\phi|^2 d\omega \right),$$

and

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(\omega)\phi(x, \omega)d\omega \right|^2 \leq \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\varrho^2(\omega)}{\omega^2 + \eta} d\omega \right) \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\omega^2 + \eta)|\phi|^2 d\omega \right),$$

we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_u &\leq \zeta \|u\|_2 \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\varrho^2(\omega)}{\omega^2 + \eta} d\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\omega^2 + \eta) |\phi|^2 d\omega dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \zeta \|v\|_2 \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\varrho^2(\omega)}{\omega^2 + \eta} d\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\omega^2 + \eta) |\phi|^2 d\omega dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \|f_3\|_2 \|u\|_2 + \|w\|_2 \|f_1\|_2 + \|f_4\|_2 \|v\|_2 + \|f_2\|_2 \|z\|_2 \\ &\quad + 2\|w\|_2^2 + 2\|z\|_2^2 + \delta(\|\nabla_x u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_x v\|_2^2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_u &\leq \varepsilon \|u\|_2^2 + c(\varepsilon) \|U\| \|F\| + \varepsilon \|u\|_2^2 + c(\varepsilon) \|f_3\|_2^2 + \varepsilon \|v\|_2^2 + c(\varepsilon) \|f_4\|_2^2 \\ &\quad + \|f_1\|_2^2 + \|f_2\|_2^2 + c\|w\|_2^2 + c\|z\|_2^2 + \delta(\|\nabla_x u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_x v\|_2^2). \end{aligned}$$

Using the estimation

$$c(\varepsilon) \|f_2\|_2^2 + \|f_1\|_2^2 + c(\varepsilon) \|f_4\|_2^2 + \|f_2\|_2^2 \leq c \|F\|^2,$$

and the classical Poincaré’s inequality

$$\|u\|_2^2 \leq c \|\nabla_x u\|_2^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|v\|_2^2 \leq c \|\nabla_x v\|_2^2,$$

we obtain

$$\mathcal{E}_u \leq 2\varepsilon c (\|\nabla_x u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_x v\|_2^2) + c(\|w\|_2^2 + \|z\|_2^2) + c \|F\|^2 + c \|U\| \|F\|.$$

Then, we obtain

$$\mathcal{E}_u \leq c\|w\|_2^2 + \|z\|_2^2 + c' \|F\|^2 + c'' \|U\| \|F\|,$$

and from (48), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\varphi\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (|\phi|^2 + |\varphi|^2) d\omega dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\omega^2 + \eta) (|\phi|^2 + |\varphi|^2) d\omega dx \leq C \|U\| \|F\|. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\|U\|^2 \leq c\|w\|_2^2 + \|z\|_2^2 + c' \|F\|^2 + c'' \|U\| \|F\|. \tag{60}$$

Inserting (57) into (60), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 &\leq c \mathcal{S}^{-2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\omega}{(|\kappa| + \omega^2 + \eta)^2} \right) \|U\| \|F\| \\ &\quad + c' \mathcal{S}^{-2} \|F\|^2 \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\omega|^2}{(|\kappa| + \omega^2 + \eta)^4} d\omega \right) \\ &\quad + c'' \|F\|^2 + c''' \|U\| \|F\|. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we obtain

$$\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq \mathcal{M}^2(\kappa) \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2, \tag{61}$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}(\kappa) = c\mathcal{S}^{-2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\omega}{(|\kappa| + \omega^2 + \eta)^2} \right).$$

It follows that

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(\kappa)} \|(i\kappa I - \mathcal{A})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})} \leq C, \quad \forall \kappa \in \mathbb{R} \text{ bmcfm}$$

for a positive constant C . By applying Theorems 5 and 6, following the form of \mathcal{M} , we find the main result. \square

Author Contributions: A.B.; writing—original draft preparation, N.B.; writing—original draft preparation, R.A.; writing—review and editing, funding acquisition, K.B.; writing—review and editing, K.Z. supervision. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The researchers would like to thank the Deanship of Scientific Research, Qassim University, for funding the publication of this project.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Mbodje, B. Wave energy decay under fractional derivative controls. *IMA J. Math. Control. Inf.* **2006**, *23*, 237–257.
2. Batty, C.J.K.; Chill, R.; Tomilov, Y. Fine scales of decay of operator semigroups. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **2016**, *18*, 853–929.
3. Boudaoud, A.; Benaissa, A. Stabilization of a Wave Equation with a General Internal Control of Diffusive Type. *Discontinuity Nonlinearity Complex.* **2022**, *11*, 1–18.
4. Pazy, A. *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 1983.
5. Pruss, J. On the spectrum of C_0 -semigroups. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **1984**, *284*, 847–857.
6. Benaissa, A.; Rafa, S. Well-posedness and energy decay of solutions to a wave equation with a general boundary control of diffusive type. *Math. Nachrichten* **2019**, *292*, 1644–1673.
7. Arendt, W.; Batty, C.J.K. Tauberian theorems and stability of one-parameter semigroups. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **1988**, *306*, 837–852.
8. Batty, C.J.K.; Duyckaerts, T. Non-uniform stability for bounded semi-groups on Banach spaces. *J. Evol. Equ.* **2008**, *8*, 765–780.
9. Rozendaal, J.; Seifert, D.; Stahn, R. Optimal rates of decay for operator semigroups on Hilbert spaces. *Adv. Math.* **2019** *346*, 359–388.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.